IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

PARKER-HANNIFIN CORPORATION,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	C.A. No. 1:07-cv-104-***
SEIREN CO., LTD.,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

PLAINTIFF PARKER-HANNIFIN CORPORATION'S
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Parker-Hannifin Corp. ("Parker"), through counsel, hereby moves the Court, pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 15(a) and 21, for leave to file and serve a First Amended Complaint, attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

A second copy ("Redlined") of this pleading "which … indicate[s] in what respect it differs from the pleading which it amends," as required by Local Rule 15.1, is attached hereto as Exhibit 2. The basic purpose of the First Amended Complaint is to add Parker Intangibles, LLC, assignee of the patents-in-suit, as a formal plaintiff to this action. The original Complaint identified Parker Intangibles as the assignee, but it was not named as a party.

This motion is filed within the time frames set by the Court's Scheduling Order for motions to add parties and amend pleadings. (D.I. 19, ¶ 2).

<u>Standard for Granting Leave to Amend</u>

A party can be added to an action by amending the Complaint. *Texas Energy Reserve Corp v. Dept. of Energy*, 535 F. Supp. 615, 621 (D. Del. 1982). A party may amend its pleading with leave of court; and "[t]he court should freely give leave when justice so requires." Fed.R.Civ.P. 15(a)(2) (December 1, 2007).

As the Supreme Court has explained, the mandate that leave to amend "shall be freely given when justice so requires" is to be heeded. *Foman v. Davis*, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962).[1] In *Long v. Wilson*, 393 F.3d 390 (3d Cir. 2004), the Court summarized the controlling law of the Third Circuit:

> Rule 15(a) requires that leave to amend the pleadings be granted freely "when justice so requires." Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 15(a). We have held that motions to amend pleadings should be liberally granted. *See, e.g., Adams v. Gould Inc.*, 739 F.2d 858, 867-68 (3d Cir. 1984) ("[U]nder the liberal pleading philosophy of the federal rules as incorporated in Rule 15(a), an amendment should be allowed whenever there has not been undue delay, bad faith on the part of the [movant], or prejudice to the [nonmovant] as a result of the delay."). In *Lundy v. Adamar of New Jersey, Inc.*, 34 F.3d 1173 (3d Cir. 1994), we stated: "This Court has often held that, absent undue or substantial prejudice, an amendment should be allowed under Rule 15(a) unless 'denial [can] be grounded in bad faith or dilatory motive, *truly undue or unexplained delay*, repeated failure to cure deficiency by amendments previously allowed or futility of amendment.'" *Id.* at 1196 (*quoting Bechtel v. Robinson*, 886 F.2d 644, 652-53 (3d Cir. 1989) (emphasis in original).

393 F.3d at 400.

Thus, leave should be freely granted to amend a Complaint unless there is undue delay, bad faith, prejudice to the opposing party, repeated failures to cure defects through earlier amendments, or futility.

<u>Leave to Amend the Complaint Should be Granted Here</u>

The original Complaint in this matter identified Parker as the only plaintiff. The Complaint pleaded that Parker was the exclusive licensee under all of the patents-in-suit, it had the right to sue for past, present, and future infringement of the patents, and it had the rights to seek injunctive relief and monetary damages. Complaint (D.I. 1) at ¶¶ 5-9.

---

[1] The language of the rule has recently changed from "shall" to "should". According to the Notes of Advisory Committee on 2007 Amendments, "[t]he language of Rule 15 has been amended as part of the general restyling of the Civil Rules to make them more easily understood and to make style and terminology consistent throughout the rules. These changes are intended to be stylistic only."

Parker Intangibles was identified as the formal "owner" of the patents. Complaint. *Id*. In order for Parker, the exclusive licensee, to have the rights alleged in the Complaint, the exclusive licensee should hold "all substantial rights to the patent." *Paradise Creations, Inc. v. U V Sales, Inc.*, 315 F.3d 1304, 1308 (Fed. Cir. 2003). Because Parker holds such rights, it is appropriately named as plaintiff.

Parker is also a plaintiff in related cases Parker-Hannifin Corp. v. Zippertubing (Japan), LTD. (Civil Action No. 1:06-cv-00751) ("the Zippertubing Action") and Parker-Hannifin Corp. v. Schlegel Electronic Materials Inc. (Civil Action No. 1:07-cv-266-***) ("the Schlegel Action"). Each of these cases share similar issues with the present case and include common patents-in-suit.

Each of the defendants in the Zippertubing and Schlegel Actions have affirmatively denied that Parker alone has standing to assert the patents. Zippertubing Action D.I. 7, ¶¶ 5-8; Schlegel Action D.I. 14, ¶¶ 6-10. In the present case, Seiren Co., LTD ("Seiren") has alleged insufficient knowledge or information as to Parker's standing, thereby denying same under Fed.R.Civ.P. 8(b). D.I. 7, ¶¶ 5-9.

While reaffirming its standing to sue and seek relief under the patents, Parker desires to avoid any unnecessary dispute regarding standing, such as whether Parker has "all substantial rights to the patent." Such a dispute can be easily avoided by adding the assignee of the patents, Parker Intangibles, LLC, as a formal plaintiff. That is the basic purpose and effect of the First Amended Complaint. Accordingly, Parker respectfully requests leave to file and serve the First Amended Complaint. The filing of the First Amended Complaint will avoid the unnecessary dispute over standing, and save judicial resources and substantial litigation costs for both parties.

While there are good reasons to grant leave to file the First Amended Complaint, there are no reasons not to. Particularly, none of the conditions warned of in *Long* are present in the instant case.

There is no bad faith or undue delay. The leave requested by Parker will simplify the case by obviating the possible issue of standing and allow the parties to focus on the merits. Parker's motives for filing the Amended Complaint will serve the best interests of the parties and the Court—there is no bad faith. The request for leave is timely filed within the period for adding parties set by the Court.

Seiren will not be prejudiced by the grant of leave. As shown in Exhibit 2, the First Amended Complaint includes the same averments and seeks the same relief as does the original Complaint. The only difference is the addition of Parker Intangibles, the formal patent owner, as another plaintiff seeking that same relief and for those same reasons. Accordingly, Seiren has been on full notice of the allegations contained in the First Amended Complaint since commencement of the suit, including the identity and relationship of Parker Intangibles to the asserted patents. The addition of Parker Intangibles as a party will in no way prejudice Seiren.

There have been no prior amendments. Parker seeks for the first time to amend the Complaint.

Entry of the First Amended Complaint would not be futile. The last reason for denying leave to amend a pleading set forth in *Long* is futility. In the present case, the addition of Parker Intangibles as a plaintiff will simplify the issues to be litigated, save judicial resources and facilitate cost savings for both parties. These are legitimate and desirable ends that are far from futile.

Because there are no good reasons to deny leave to file the First Amended Complaint, this Court should grant leave freely. *Long*, 393 F.3d at 400. Further, entry of the amended pleading will serve the interests of efficient litigation and judicial economy. For this reason as well, leave should be granted.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests leave to file and serve the attached First Amended Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Rudolf E. Hutz

Rudolf E. Hutz (#484)
Francis DiGiovanni (#3189)
Steven A. Nash (PA #85707 admitted pro hac vice)
The Nemours Building
1007 N. Orange Street
P.O. Box 2207
Wilmington, DE 19899
Phone (302) 658-9141
rhutz@cblh.com
fdigiovanni@cblh.com
snash@cblh.com

Dated: January 10, 2008

585256v1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 10, 2008, I caused to be electronically filed a true and correct copy of the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF, which will send notification that such filing is available for viewing and downloading to counsel of record on the Court's CM/ECF registrants for this case. I further certify that on January 10, 2008, I caused a copy of the foregoing document to be served upon the following in the manner indicated:

BY E-MAIL AND HAND DELIVERY
Jack B. Blumenfeld
Julia Heaney
Morris, Nichols, Arsht & Tunnell, LLP
1201 N. Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899
jblumenfeld@mnat.com

BY E-MAIL AND U.S. MAIL
Scott M. Daniels
Ken-Ichi Hattori
Michael J. Caridi
Westerman, Hattori, Daniels & Adrian, LLP
1250 Connecticut Ave. NW
Washington, D.C. 20036
sdaniels@whdapatentlaw.com

/s/ Rudolf E. Hutz
Rudolf E. Hutz (#484)

EXHIBIT 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

PARKER-HANNIFIN CORPORATION, and PARKER INTANGIBLES, LLC,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	C.A. No. 07-cv- 00104-***
SEIREN CO., LTD.,	)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	)	

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs, PARKER-HANNIFIN CORPORATION and PARKER INTANGIBLES, LLC, as and for their complaint against defendant, SEIREN CO., LTD., allege as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff, PARKER-HANNIFIN CORPORATION (hereinafter "PARKER"), is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Ohio, having its principal place of business at 6035 Parkland Blvd., Cleveland, Ohio.

2. Plaintiff PARKER INTANGIBLES, LLC (hereinafter "PI") is a Delaware limited liability company, having a place of business at 6035 Parkland Blvd., Cleveland, Ohio, and a wholly-owned subsidiary of PARKER.

3. Defendant, SEIREN CO., LTD. (hereinafter "SEIREN"), is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Japan, with its principal place of business at 1-10-1 Keya, Fukui City, Fukui, Japan.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. The jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

5. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), and 1400(b).

THE PATENTS

6. On May 14, 2002, United States Letters Patent No. 6,387,523 (hereinafter the "'523 patent") (attached hereto as Exhibit "A") was duly and legally issued. The '523 patent is owned by PI. PARKER is the exclusive licensee under the '523 patent, and has the right to sue for past, present, and future infringement of the '523 patent, and further the right to seek injunctive relief and monetary damages.

7. On February 18, 2003, United States Letters Patent No. 6,521,348 (hereinafter the "'348 patent") (attached hereto as Exhibit "B") was duly and legally issued. The '348 patent is owned by PI. PARKER is the exclusive licensee under the '348 patent, and has the right to sue for past, present, and future infringement of the '348 patent, and further the right to seek injunctive relief and monetary damages.

8. On April 6, 2004, United States Letters Patent No. 6,716,536 (hereinafter the "'536 patent") (attached hereto as Exhibit "C") was duly and legally issued. The '536 patent is owned by PI. PARKER is the exclusive licensee under the '536 patent, and has the right to sue for past, present, and future infringement of the '536 patent, and further the right to seek injunctive relief and monetary damages.

9. On August 17, 2004, United States Letters Patent No. 6,777,095 (hereinafter the "'095 patent") (attached hereto as Exhibit "D") was duly and legally issued. The '095 patent is owned by PI. PARKER is the exclusive licensee under the '095 patent, and has the right to sue for

past, present, and future infringement of the '095 patent, and further the right to seek injunctive relief and monetary damages.

10. On June 19, 2001, United States Letters Patent No. 6,248,393 (hereinafter "'393 patent") (attached hereto as Exhibit "E") was duly and legally issued. The '393 patent is owned by PI. PARKER is the exclusive licensee under the '393 patent, and has the right to sue for past, present, and future infringement of the '393 patent, and further the right to seek injunctive relief and monetary damages.

PATENT INFRINGEMENT

11. SEIREN has been and still is infringing one or more claims of the '523, '348, '536, '095, and/or '393 patents. SEIREN's infringing activities have included direct infringement, contributory infringement and/or active inducement of infringement within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(a) through (c).

12. SEIREN has committed acts of infringement in disregard of PARKER's and PI's (hereinafter collectively "Plaintiffs") rights in the '523, '348, '536, '095, and/or '393 patents. Upon information and belief, SEIREN's infringement has been willful, deliberate and intentional, and will continue, to Plaintiffs' irreparable harm, unless enjoined by this Court.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment as follows:

A. That SEIREN has infringed U.S. Patent Nos. 6,387,523; 6,521,348; 6,716,536; 6,777,095; and/or 6,248,393;

B. That SEIREN be permanently enjoined from further conduct which infringes the '523, '348, '536, '095, or '393 patents;

C. That Plaintiffs be awarded damages adequate to compensate them for SEIREN's infringement, and that the damages be trebled because of the willful nature of SEIREN's infringement, together with interest, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284; and

D. That Plaintiffs be awarded their attorney fees and costs in this action, together with such other relief as this Court deems appropriate.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs hereby request a trial by jury.

/s/ Rudolf E. Hutz
Rudolf E. Hutz (#484)
Francis DiGiovanni (#3189)
Steven A. Nash (PA #85707 admitted pro hac vice)
The Nemours Building
1007 N. Orange Street
Wilmington, DE 19899
Phone (302) 658-9141
rhutz@cblh.com
fdigiovanni@cblh.com
snash@cblh.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Dated: January 10, 2008

563129_1

EXHIBIT
A

US006387523B2

(12) United States Patent
Bunyan et al.

(10) **Patent No.: US 6,387,523 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent: May 14, 2002**

(54) **FLAME RETARDANT EMI SHIELDING GASKET**

(75) Inventors: **Michael H. Bunyan**, Chelmsford, MA (US); **William I. Flanders**, Merimack, NH (US)

(73) Assignee: **Parker-Hannifin Corporation**, Cleveland, OH (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **09/883,785**

(22) Filed: **Jun. 18, 2001**

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 09/250,338, filed on Feb. 16, 1999, now Pat. No. 6,248,393.

(60) Provisional application No. 60/076,370, filed on Feb. 27, 1998.

(51) **Int. Cl.**[7] **B32B 5/14**; B32B 5/18

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **428/457**

(58) **Field of Search** 428/457

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,178,410	A	12/1979	Tomita
4,396,661	A	8/1983	George et al.
4,447,484	A	5/1984	Slosberg et al.
4,489,126	A	12/1984	Holtrop et al.
4,531,994	A	7/1985	Holtrop et al.
4,540,617	A	9/1985	Kawanishi et al.
4,569,304	A	2/1986	Le Maitre
4,572,960	A	2/1986	Ebneth et al.
4,608,104	A	8/1986	Holtrop et al.
4,621,013	A	11/1986	Holtrop et al.
4,666,765	A	5/1987	Caldwell et al.
4,684,762	A	8/1987	Gladfeiter
4,753,840	A	6/1988	Van Gompel
4,797,171	A	1/1989	Van Gompel
4,857,668	A	8/1989	Buonanno
4,871,477	A	10/1989	Dimanshteyn
4,988,550	A	1/1991	Keyser et al.

(List continued on next page.)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE	9013936		12/1990
EP	0326441		8/1989
FR	2308030		11/1976
JP	07-176889	*	7/1995

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Dye, James M. Compliance Engineering 17(3), pp 138 and 140–150, 2000.*

Copy of the International Application Published Under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in International Patent Application No. PCT/US00/20609 (WO 01/10182) entitled: "Method and Apparatus for Manufacturing A Flame Retardant EMI Gasket".

Kleiner, J. Vinyl Technol. vol. 4 No. 4, Dec. 1982, pp 157–159, 1982.*

Copy of International Search Report for PCT Case No. PCT/US99/04100, Jan. 7, 1999.

Chomerics Parker Hannifin Seals Catalog dated 1997 for Soft–Shield Low Closure Force EMI Gaskets.

Monsanto Flectron Metalized Materials dated Sep. 12, 1995.

Primary Examiner—Erma Cameron
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—John A. Molnar, Jr.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A flame retardant, electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding gasket construction. The construction includes a resilient core member formed of a foamed elastomeric material, an electrically-conductive fabric member surrounding the outer surface of the core member, and a flame retardant layer coating at least a portion of the interior surface of the fabric member. The flame retardant layer is effective to afford the gasket construction with a flame class rating of V-0 under Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) Standard No. 94.

8 Claims, 3 Drawing Sheets



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,009,927	A	4/1991	Cloyd et al.
5,028,739	A	7/1991	Keyser et al.
5,045,635	A	9/1991	Kaplo et al.
5,089,325	A	2/1992	Covey
5,105,056	A	4/1992	Hoge, Jr. et al.
5,122,411	A	6/1992	Iwamoto et al.
5,202,536	A	4/1993	Buonanno
5,204,403	A	4/1993	Furuta et al.
5,266,354	A	11/1993	Tohyama et al.
5,612,092	A	3/1997	Strenger et al.
5,614,306	A	3/1997	Jobe et al.
5,635,252	A	6/1997	Fraser, Jr. et al.
5,641,544	A	6/1997	Melancon et al.
5,674,606	A	10/1997	Powers, Jr.
5,700,532	A	12/1997	Chiou

* cited by examiner



Fig. 1



Fig. 2



Fig. 3



Fig. 4



Fig. 5



Fig. 6

FLAME RETARDANT EMI SHIELDING GASKET

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

This application is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 09/250,338, filed Feb. 16, 1999, and claiming priority to U.S. provisional application Ser. No. 60/076,370, filed Feb. 27, 1998, which application is to issue as U.S. Pat. No. 6,248,393 entitled "Flame Retardant EMI Shielding Materials and Method of Manufacture," the disclosures of which is expressly incorporated herein by reference.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates broadly to electrically-conductive, flame retardant materials for use in electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding, and to a method of manufacturing the same, and more particularly to an electrically-conductive fabric having a layer of a flame retardant coating applied to one surface thereof for use as a sheathing within an EMI shielding gasket.

The operation of electronic devices including televisions, radios, computers, medical instruments, business machines, communications equipment, and the like is attended by the generation of electromagnetic radiation within the electronic circuitry of the equipment. Such radiation often develops as a field or as transients within the radio frequency band of the electromagnetic spectrum, i.e., between about 10 KHz and 10 GHz, and is termed "electromagnetic interference" or "EMI" as being known to interfere with the operation of other proximate electronic devices.

To attenuate EMI effects, shielding having the capability of absorbing and/or reflecting EMI energy may be employed both to confine the EMI energy within a source device, and to insulate that device or other "target" devices from other source devices. Such shielding is provided as a barrier which is inserted between the source and the other devices, and typically is configured as an electrically conductive and grounded housing which encloses the device. As the circuitry of the device generally must remain accessible for servicing or the like, most housings are provided with openable or removable accesses such as doors, hatches, panels, or covers. Between even the flattest of these accesses and its corresponding mating or faying surface, however, there may be present gaps which reduce the efficiency of the shielding by presenting openings through which radiant energy may leak or otherwise pass into or out of the device. Moreover, such gaps represent discontinuities in the surface and ground conductivity of the housing or other shielding, and may even generate a secondary source of EMI radiation by functioning as a form of slot antenna. In this regard, bulk or surface currents induced within the housing develop voltage gradients across any interface gaps in the shielding, which gaps thereby function as antennas which radiate EMI noise. In general, the amplitude of the noise is proportional to the gap length, with the width of the gap having a less appreciable effect.

For filling gaps within mating surfaces of housings and other EMI shielding structures, gaskets and other seals have been proposed both for maintaining electrical continuity across the structure, and for excluding from the interior of the device such contaminates as moisture and dust. Such seals are bonded or mechanically attached to, or press-fit into, one of the mating surfaces, and function to close any interface gaps to establish a continuous conductive path thereacross by conforming under an applied pressure to

irregularities between the surfaces. Accordingly, seals intended for EMI shielding applications are specified to be of a construction which not only provides electrical surface conductivity even while under compression, but which also has a resiliency allowing the seals to conform to the size of the gap. The seals additionally must be wear resistant, economical to manufacture, and capability of withstanding repeated compression and relaxation cycles. For further information on specifications for EMI shielding gaskets, reference may be had to Severinsen, J., "Gaskets That Block EMI," Machine Design, Vol. 47, No. 19, pp. 74–77 (Aug. 7, 1975).

Requirements for typical EMI shielding applications often dictate a low impedance, low profile gasket which is deflectable under normal closure force loads. Other requirements include low cost and a design which provides an EMI shielding effectiveness for both the proper operation of the device and compliance, in the United States, with commercial Federal Communication Commission (FCC) EMC regulations.

A particularly economical gasket construction, which also requires very low closure forces, i.e. less than about 1 lb/inch (0.175 N/mm), is marketed by the Chomerics Division of Parker-Hannifin Corp., Woburn, Mass. under the tradename "Soft-Shield® 5000 Series." Such construction consists of an electrically-conductive jacket or sheathing which is "cigarette" wrapped lengthwise over a polyurethane or other foam core. As is described further in U.S. Pat. No. 4,871,477, polyurethane foams generally are produced by the reaction of polyisocyanate and a hydroxyl-functional polyol in the presence of a blowing agent. The blowing agent effects the expansion of the polymer structure into a multiplicity of open or closed cells.

The jacket is provided as a highly conductive, i.e., about 1 Ω-sq., nickel-plated-silver, woven rip-stop nylon which is self-terminating when cut. Advantageously, the jacket may be bonded to the core in a continuous molding process wherein the foam is blown or expanded within the jacket as the jacket is wrapped around the expanding foam and the foam and jacket are passed through a die and into a traveling molding. Similar gasket constructions are shown in commonly-assigned U.S. Pat. No. 5,028,739 and in U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,857,668; 5,054,635; 5,105,056; and 5,202,536.

Many electronic devices, including PC's and communication equipment, must not only comply with certain FCC requirements, but also must meet be approved under certain Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) standards for flame retardancy. In this regard, if each of the individual components within an electronic device is UL approved, then the device itself does not require separate approval. Ensuring UL approval for each component therefore reduces the cost of compliance for the manufacturer, and ultimately may result in cheaper goods for the consumer. For EMI shielding gaskets, however, such gaskets must be made flame retardant, i.e., achieving a rating of V-0 under UL Std. No. 94, "Tests for Flammability of Plastic Materials for Parts in Devices and Appliances" (1991), without compromising the electrical conductivity necessary for meeting EMI shielding requirements.

In this regard, and particularly with respect to EMI shielding gaskets of the above-described fabric over foam variety, it has long been recognized that foamed polymeric materials are flammable and, in certain circumstances, may present a fire hazard. Owing to their cellular structure, high organic content, and surface area, most foam materials are subject to relatively rapid decomposition upon exposure to fire or high temperatures.

One approach for imparting flame retardancy to fabric over foam gaskets has been to employ the sheathing as a flame resistant protective layer for the foam. Indeed, V-0 rating compliance purportedly has been achieved by sheathing the foam within an electrically-conductive Ni/Cu-plated fabric to which a thermoplastic sheet is hot nipped or otherwise fusion bonding to the underside thereof. Such fabrics, which may be further described in one or more of U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,489,126; 4,531,994; 4,608,104; and/or 4,621,013, have been marketed by Monsanto Co., St. Louis, under the tradename "Flectron® Ni/Cu Polyester Taffeta V0."

Other fabric over foam gaskets, as is detailed in U.S. Pat. No. 4,857,668, incorporate a supplemental layer or coating applied to the interior surface of the sheath. Such coating may be a flame-retardant urethane formulation which also promotes the adhesion of the sheath to the foam. The coating additionally may function to reduce bleeding of the foam through the fabric which otherwise could compromise the electrical conductivity of the sheath.

In view of the foregoing, it will be appreciated that further improvements in the design of flame retardant, fabric-over foam EMI shielding gaskets, as well as sheathing materials therefore, would be well-received by the electronics industry. Especially desired would be a flame retardant gasket construction which achieves a UL94 rating of V-0.

BROAD STATEMENT OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is directed to an electrically-conductive, flame retardant material for use in fabric-over-foam EMI shielding gaskets, and to a method of manufacturing the same. In having a layer of a flame retardant coating applied to one side of an electrically-conductive, generally porous fabric, the material of the invention affords UL94 V-0 protection when used as a jacketing in a fabric-over-foam gasket construction. Advantageously, as the flame retardant layer may be wet coated on the fabric without appreciable bleed through, a relatively thin, i.e., 2–4 mil (0.05–0.10 mm), coating layer may be provided on one fabric side without compromising the electrical surface conductivity of the other side. Such a thin coating layer, while being sufficient to provide UL94 V-0 protection, nonetheless maintains the drapability the fabric and thereby facilitates the construction UL94 V-0 compliant gaskets having complex profiles or narrow cross-sections down to about 1 mm.

In a preferred embodiment, the electrically-conductive, flame retardant EMI shielding material of the invention includes a nickel or silver-plated, woven nylon, polyester, or like fabric on one side of which is wet coated a layer of a flame retardant, acrylic latex emulsion or other fluent resin composition. In accordance with the precepts of the method of the invention, the viscosity and hydrodynamic pressure of the emulsion are controlled such that the coating does not penetrate or otherwise "bleed through" the uncoated side of the fabric. The surface conductivity of the opposite side of the fabric therefore is not compromised in EMI shielding applications.

The material of the invention may be employed as a jacket in fabric-over-foam EMI shielding gasket constructions, and is particularly adapted for use in the continuous molding process for such gaskets. As used within such process, the fabric may be wrapped around the foam as a jacket with coated side thereof being disposed as an interior surface adjacent the foam, and the uncoated side being disposed as an electrically-conductive exterior surface. Advantageously, the coating on the interior surface of the jacket blocks the pores of the fabric to retain the foam therein without penetrate or bleed through to the exterior surface. In being formed of a acrylic material, the coated interior surface of the jacket may function, moreover, depending upon the composition of the foam, as a compatibilizing or "tie" interlayer which promotes the bonding of the foam to the fabric.

The present invention, accordingly, comprises material and method possessing the construction, combination of elements, and arrangement of parts and steps which are exemplified in the detailed disclosure to follow. Advantages of the present invention include a flame retardant yet drapable EMI shielding fabric. Additional advantages include an economical, flame retardant EMI shielding fabric construction wherein a relatively thin layer of a flame retardant coating may be wet coated onto one side of an electrically-conductive, woven or other generally porous EMI shielding fabric without compromising the conductivity of the other side of the fabric. These and other advantages will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art based upon the disclosure contained herein.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

For a fuller understanding of the nature and objects of the invention, reference should be had to the following detailed description taken in connection with the accompanying drawings wherein:

FIG. **1** is a perspective view of one embodiment of an EMI shielding material according to the present invention which material includes a generally planar fabric member on one side of which is coated a layer of a flame retardant composition, the view being shown with portions being broken away to better reveal the structure of the material;

FIG. **2** is an enlarged cross-sectional view of the EMI shielding material of FIG. **1** taken through plane represented by line **2**—**2** of FIG. **1**;

FIG. **3** is a top view of the material of FIG. **1** which is magnified to reveal the structure of the fabric member thereof;

FIG. **4** is a perspective cross-sectional view of a length of a representative EMI shielding gasket construction according to the present invention including a jacket which is formed of the EMI shielding material of FIG. **1**;

FIG. **5** is an end view of the gasket of FIG. **4** which is magnified to reveal the structure thereof; and

FIG. **6** is a schematic, partially cross-sectional view of an illustrative gravity-fed, knife over roll coater as adapted for use in the manufacture of the EMI shielding material of FIG. **1**.

The drawings will be described further in connection with the following Detailed Description of the Invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Certain terminology may be employed in the description to follow for convenience rather than for any limiting purpose. For example, the terms "upper" and "lower" designate directions in the drawings to which reference is made, with the terms "inner" or "interior" and "outer" or "exterior" referring, respectively, to directions toward and away from the center of the referenced element, and the terms "radial" and "axial" referring, respectively, to directions perpendicular and parallel to the longitudinal central axis of the referenced element. Terminology of similar import other

than the words specifically mentioned above likewise is to be considered as being used for purposes of convenience rather than in any limiting sense.

For the illustrative purposes of the discourse to follow, the electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding material herein involved is described in connection with its use as a flame retardant, electrically-conductive jacket for a foam core, EMI shielding gasket as may be adapted to be received within an interface, such as between a door, panel, hatch, cover, or other parting line of an electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding structure. The EMI shielding structure may be the conductive housing of a computer, communications equipment, or other electronic device or equipment which generates EMI radiation or is susceptible to the effects thereof. The gasket may be bonded or fastened to, or press-fit into one of a pair of mating surfaces which define the interface within the housing, and functions between the mating surfaces to seal any interface gaps or other irregularities. That is, while under an applied pressure, the gasket resiliently conforms to any such irregularities both to establish a continuous conductive path across the interface, and to environmentally seal the interior of the housing against the ingress of dust, moisture, or other contaminates. It will be appreciated, however, that aspects of the present invention may find utility in other EMI shielding applications. Use within those such other applications therefore should be considered to be expressly within the scope of the present invention.

Referring then to the figures, wherein corresponding reference characters are used to designate corresponding elements throughout the several views, a flame retardant EMI shielding material according to the present invention is shown generally at **10** in FIG. **1** as generally adapted for use as a jacket within for a foam core gasket construction. For purposes of illustration, material sheet **10** is shown to be of indefinite dimensions which may be cut to size for the particular application envisioned. In basic construction, material **10** includes an upper, generally planar and porous fabric member, **12**, and a lower, flame retardant coating member, **14**.

Fabric member has at least an electrically-conductive first side, **16**, and a conductive or non-conductive second side, **18**, defining a thickness dimension, referenced at "t_1" in the cross-sectional view of FIG. **2**, which may vary from about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm). By "electrically-conductive," it is meant that the fabric may be rendered conductive, i.e., to a surface resistivity of about 0.1 Ω/sq. or less, by reason of its being constructed of electrically-conductive wire, monofilaments, yams or other fibers or, alternatively, by reason of a treatment such as a plating or sputtering being applied to non-conductive fibers to provide an electrically-conductive layer thereon. Preferred electrically-conductive fibers include Monel nickel-copper alloy, silver-plated copper, nickel-clad copper, Ferrex® tin-plated copper-clad steel, aluminum, tin-clad copper, phosphor bronze, carbon, graphite, and conductive polymers. Preferred non-conductive fibers include cotton, wool, silk, cellulose, polyester, polyamide, nylon, and polyimide monofilaments or yarns which are rendered electrically conductive with a metal plating of copper, nickel, silver, nickel-plated-silver, aluminum, tin, or an alloy thereof. As is known, the metal plating may applied to individual fiber strands or to the surfaces of the fabric after weaving, knitting, or other fabrication.

While fabrics such as wire meshes, knits, and non-woven cloths and webs may find application, a preferred fabric construction for member **12** is a plain weave nylon or polyester cloth which is made electrically conductive with between about 20–40% by weight based on the total fabric weight, i.e., 0.01–0.10 g/in^2, of a silver, nickel-silver, or silver-nickel over copper plating. As may be seen in the magnified view of FIG. **1** referenced at **20** in FIG. **3**, such cloth is permeable in having a plain, generally square weave pattern with pores or openings, one of which is referenced at **22**, being defined between the fibers which are represented schematically at **24**. Fibers **24** may be yarns, monofilaments or, preferably, bundles of from about 10–20 filaments or threads, each having a diameter of between about 10–50 μm. For example, with fibers **24** each being a bundle of such threads with a thread count of between about 1000–3000 per inch and a weave count of between about 1000–1500 per inch, 1000–2000 openings per inch will be defined with a mean average pore size of between about 0.5–2 mils (12.5–50 μm).

Although a plain, square weave pattern such as a taffeta, tabby, or ripstop is considered preferred, other weaves such as satins, twills, and the like also should be considered within the scope of the invention herein involved. A particularly preferred cloth for fabric member **12** is a 4 mil (0.10 mm) thick, 1.8 oz/yd^2 weight, silver-plated, woven nylon which is marketed commercially under the designation "31EN RIPSTOP" by Swift Textile Metalizing Corp., Bloomfield, Conn. However, depending upon the needs of the specific shielding application, a fabric constructed of a combination or blend of conductive and nonconductive fibers alternatively may be employed. Examples of fabrics woven, braided, or warp knitted from electrically-conductive fibers, or from blends of conductive and non-conductive fibers, are described in Gladfelter, U.S. Pat. No. 4,684,762, and in Buonanno, U.S. Pat. No. 4,857,668.

Returning to FIGS. **1** and **2**, coating member **14** preferably is formed from a curable layer of a fluent, flame retardant resin or other composition which is wet coated onto the second side **18** of fabric member **12**. As is detailed hereinafter, the viscosity and hydrodynamic pressure of the resin composition are controlled in accordance with the precepts of the present invention to delimit the penetration of the resin layer to a depth, referenced at "d" in FIG. **2**, which is less than the thickness dimension t_1 of the fabric member **12**. In this regard, when the layer is cured to form the flame retardant surface coating member **14** on the second side **18** of fabric member **12**, the first side **16** thereof remains electrically-conductive. In a preferred construction, the layer is coated to a wet thickness of about 10 mils (0.25 mm), and then cured to a dried coating or film thickness, referenced at t_2 in FIG. **2**, of between about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm) at a depth d of about 12 mils (0.025–0.05 mm). Ultimately, a total material thickness, referenced at "T," of between about 6–7 mils (0.15–0.20 mm) and a dried weight pickup of between about 100–150 g/yd^2 are observed. By "cured" it is meant that the resin is polymerized, cross-linked, further cross-linked or polymerized, vulcanized, hardened, dried, volatilized, or otherwise chemically or physically changed from a liquid or other fluent form into a solid polymeric or elastomeric phase.

The flame retardant composition preferably is formulated as an aqueous emulsion of an acrylic latex emulsion which is adjusted to a total solids of about 60% and a Brookfield viscosity (#5 spindle, 4 speed) of between about 40,000–60,000 cps, at a density of about 10 lbs per gallon (1.8 g/cm^3). Flame retardancy may be imparted by loading the emulsion with between about 30–50% by weight of one or more conventional flame retardant additives such as aluminum hydrate, antimony trioxide, phosphate esters, or halogenated

compounds such as polybrominated diphenyl oxides. A preferred formulation is a mixture of about 25% by weight, based on the total weight of the emulsion, of decambromodiphenyl oxide and about 15% by weight of one or more antimony compounds. In operation, should the acrylic carrier phase be ignited, the decomposition of the halogenated and metal oxide compounds function to chemically deprive the flame of sufficient oxygen to support combustion. The decomposition of the acrylic phase additionally may lead to the development of a protective, i.e., thermally-insulative or refractory, outer char layer.

A preferred flame retardant, acrylic latex emulsion is marketed commercially by Heveatex Corp., Fall River, Mass., under the designation "4129FR." The viscosity of the emulsion may be adjusted to between about 40,000–60,000 cps using an aqueous acryloid gel or other acrylic thickener. In this regard, the increased viscosity of the emulsion contributes to delimiting the penetration of the coating layer into the fabric member. However, as this relatively high viscosity may lead to undesirable porosity in the dried film, the emulsion additionally may be modified to reduce air entrapment and bubble formation in the coating layer with up to about 1% by weight of one or more commercial surfactants such as "Bubble Breaker" by Witco Chemical Corp. (Chicago, Ill.) and "Foam Master Antifoam" by Diamond Shamrock, Inc. (San Antonio, Tex.).

As aforementioned, EMI shielding material **10** of the present invention is particularly adapted for use as a flame retardant, electrically-conductive jacket which is provided over a foam core in an EMI shielding gasket construction such as gasket **50** of FIG. **4**. In a representative embodiment, gasket **50** includes an elongate, resilient foam core member, **52**, which may be of an indefinite length. Core member **52** has an outer circumferential surface, **54**, defining the cross-sectional profile of gasket **50** which, for illustrative purposes, is of a generally polygonal, i.e., square or rectangular geometry. Other plane profiles, such as circular, semi-circular, or elliptical, or complex profiles may be substituted, however, depending upon the geometry of the interface to be sealed. Core member **12** may be of any radial or diametric extent, but for most applications will have a diametric extent or width of from about 0.25 inch (0.64 cm) to 1 inch (2.54 cm).

For affording gap-filling capabilities, it is preferred that core member **52** is provided to be complaint over a wide range of temperatures, and to exhibit good compression-relaxation hysteresis even after repeated cyclings or long compressive dwells. Core member **52** therefore may be formed of a foamed elastomeric thermoplastic such as a polyethylene, polypropylene, polypropylene-EPDM blend, butadiene, styrene-butadiene, nitrile, chlorosulfonate, or a foamed neoprene, urethane, or silicone. Preferred materials of construction include open or closed cell urethanes or blends such as a polyolefin resin/monoolefin copolymer blend, or a neoprene, silicone, or nitrile sponge rubber.

Core member **52** may be provided as an extruded or molded foam profile over which shielding material **10** is wrapped as a sheathed, with the edges of sheathed being overlapped as at **56**. In a preferred construction, shielding material **10** is bonded to the core member **52** in a continuous molding process wherein the foam is blown or expanded within the shielding material. As may be seen best with reference to the magnified view of FIG. **4** referenced at **60** in FIG. **5**, in such construction coating member **14** is disposed adjacent core member **52** as an interior surface, **62**, of shielding member **10**, with the uncoated side **16** of fabric member **12** being oppositely disposed as an electrically-conductive exterior surface, **64**, of the gasket **50**. It will be appreciated that the coated interior surface **62** blocks the pores **22** (FIG. **3**) of the fabric member **12** of the fabric to retain the blown foam therein without penetrate or bleed through to the exterior gasket surface **64**. Depending upon the respective compositions of the foam and coating, the interior surface **62** may function, moreover, as a compatibilizing or "tie" interlayer which promotes the bonding of the foam to the fabric. Gasket construction **50** advantageously provides a structure that may be used in very low closure force, i.e. less than about 1 lb/inch (0.175 N/mm), applications.

Referring again to FIG. **4**, an adhesive layer, **70**, may be applied along the lengthwise extent of gasket **50** to the underside of exterior surface **64** for the attachment of the gasket to a substrate. Such layer **70** preferably is formulated to be of a pressure sensitive adhesive (PSA) variety. As is described in U.S. Pat. No. 4,988,550, suitable PSA's for EMI shielding applications include formulations based on silicones, neoprene, styrene butadiene copolymers, acrylics, acrylates, polyvinyl ethers, polyvinyl acetate copolymers, polyisobutylenes, and mixtures, blends, and copolymers thereof. Acrylic-based formulations, however, generally are considered to be preferred for the EMI applications of the type herein involved. Although PSA's are preferred for adhesive layer **70**, other adhesives such as epoxies and urethanes may be substituted and, accordingly, are to be considered within the scope of the present invention. Heat-fusible adhesives such a hotmelts and thermoplastic films additionally may find applicability.

Inasmuch as the bulk conductivity of gasket **50** is determined substantially through its surface contact with the substrate, an electrically-conductive PSA may be preferred to ensure optimal EMI shielding performance. Such adhesives conventionally are formulated as containing about 1–25% by weight of a conductive filler to yield a volume resistivity of from about 0.01–0.001 Ω-cm. The filler may be incorporated in the form of particles, fibers, flakes, microspheres, or microballoons, and may range in size of from about 1–100 microns. Typically filler materials include inherently conductive material such as metals, carbon, and graphite, or nonconductive materials such as plastic or glass having a plating of a conductive material such as a noble metal or the like. In this regard, the means by which the adhesive is rendered electrically conductive is not considered to be a critical aspect of the present invention, such that any means achieving the desired conductivity and adhesion are to be considered suitable.

For protecting the outer portion of adhesive layer **70** which is exposed on the exterior surface of the gasket, a release sheets, shown at **72**, may be provided as removably attached to the exposed adhesive. As is common in the adhesive art, release sheet **72** may be provided as strip of a waxed, siliconized, or other coated paper or plastic sheet or the like having a relatively low surface energy so as to be removable without appreciable lifting of the adhesive from the exterior surface **64**.

In the production of commercial quantities of the EMI shielding material **10** of the present invention, the viscosity adjusted and otherwise modified acrylic latex emulsion or other resin composition may be coated and cured on one side the fabric member **12** by a direct wet process such as knife over roll or slot die. With whatever process is employed, the hydrodynamic pressure of the resin composition is controlled in accordance with the precepts of the present invention to delimit the penetration of the resin layer to a depth which is less than the thickness dimension of the fabric

member. For example, and with reference to FIG. **6** wherein the head of a representative gravity-fed knife over roll coater is shown somewhat schematically at **100**, porous, i.e., permeable, fabric member **12** is conveyed from a feed roll or the like (not shown) over a nip roller, **102**, which rotates in the direction referenced by arrow **104**. With the first side **16** of fabric member **12** supported on roller **102**, the fabric second side **18** is passed beneath the opening, referenced at **106**, of a coating trough, **108**. Trough **108** is defined by a front plate, **110**, a back plate, **112**, and a pair of side plates (not shown).

The emulsion or other fluent resin composition, referenced at **114**, is pumped or otherwise transported into trough **108** which is filled to a fluid level, referenced at h. For a given fluid density, this level h is controlled such that the hydrodynamic pressure at the fabric-liquid interface is maintained within preset limits. For example, with a fluid density of about 10 pounds per gallon (1.8 g/cm^3), and a fabric having a porosity of about 1000–2000 openings per inch with a mean average pore size of between about 0.5–2 mils (12.5–50 μm), the fluid level H is controlled at about 4 inches (10 cm) to yield a hydrodynamic pressure of about 0.05 psi (0.35 kPa) at the fabric-liquid interface. For other coating processes, the hydrodynamic fluid pressure may be controlled, for example, by a pumping pressure or the like.

In the illustrative knife-over-roll coating process, the lower edge, **120**, of front plate **110** defines a knife surface which is shimmed or otherwise spaced-apart a predetermined distance from the second side **18** of fabric member **12**. Such spacing provides a clearance or gap, referenced at "g," of typically about 10 mils (0.25 mm), but which is adjustable to regulate the thickness of the liquid coating layer, **122**, being applied to the fabric member. From roller **104**, the coated fabric member **12** may be conveyed via a take-up roller arrangement (not shown) through a in-line oven or the like to dry or flash the water or other diluent in the liquid coating layer **122**, or to otherwise cure the liquid coating layer **122** in developing an adherent, tack-free, film or other layer of coating member **14** (FIG. **1**) on the single side **18** of fabric member **12**.

The Example to follow, wherein all percentages and proportions are by weight unless otherwise expressly indicated, is illustrative of the practicing of the invention herein involved, but should not be construed in any limiting sense.

EXAMPLE

Representative EMI shielding materials according to the present invention were constructed for characterization. In this regard, a master batch of a flame retardant coating composition was compounded using an acrylic latex emulsion (Heveatex "4129FR"). The viscosity of the emulsion was adjusted to a Brookfield viscosity (#4 spindle, 40 speed) of about 60,000 cps with about 5 wt % of an acryloid thickener (AcrySol™ GS, Monsanto Co., St. Louis, Mo.). The modified emulsion had a total solids content of about 60% by weight, a density of about 10 pounds per gallon (1.8 g/cm^3), and a pH of between about 7.5 and 9.5.

The emulsion was applied using a knife over roll coater (JETZONE Model 7319, Wolverine Corp., Merrimac, Mass.) to one side of a silver-plated nylon fabric (Swift "31EN RIPSTOP") having a thickness of about 4 mils (0.1 mm). With the fluid level in the coating trough of the coater maintained at about 4 inch (10 cm), the emulsion was delivered to the surface of the cloth at a hydrodynamic pressure of about 0.05 psi (0.35 kPa). The coating knife was

shimmed to a 10 mil (0.25 mm) gap above the fabric to yield a wet coating draw down thickness of about 10 mils. Following an oven curing at 100–125° C. for 5 minutes, a dried coating or film thickness of about 2.5 mils (0.635 mm) was obtained with a weight pickup of about 130–145 g/yd^2 and a total material thickness of between about 6–7 mils (0.15–0.18 mm). An inspection of the coated fabric cloth revealed a coating penetration depth of about 1–2 mils (0.02–0.05 mm) providing acceptable mechanical retention and/or adhesion of the coating onto the fabric surface. The opposite side of the fabric, however, was observed to be substantially coating free, and to retain a surface resistivity of about 0.1 Ω/sq for unaffected EMI shielding effectiveness.

Fabric samples similarly coated in the manner described were subjected to an in-house vertical flame test. No burning was observed at dried film thickness of 2, 3, or 4 mils (0.05, 0.08, 0.10 mm). Accordingly, a reasonable operating window of film thickness was suggested for production runs.

Samples also were provided, as jacketed over a polyurethane foam core in an EMI shielding gasket construction, for flame testing by Underwriters Laboratories, Inc., Melville, N.Y. A flame class rating of V-0 under UL94 was assigned at a minimum thickness of 1.0 mm. The gasket construction therefore was found to be compliant with the applicable UL requirements, and was approved to bear the "UL" certification mark.

The foregoing results confirm that the EMI shielding material of the present invention affords UL94 V-0 protection when used as a jacketing in a fabric-over-foam gasket construction. Unexpectedly, it was found that a relatively porous or permeable fabric may be wet coated on one side with a relatively thin, i.e., 2–4 mil (0.05–0.10 mm), coating layer of a flame retardant composition without compromising the electrical surface conductivity of the other side. Such a thin coating layer, while being sufficient to provide UL94 V-0 protection in a conventional fabric-over-foam gasket construction, nonetheless maintains the drapability the fabric and thereby facilitates the fabrication of UL94 V-0 compliant gaskets having complex profiles or narrow cross-sections down to about 1 mm.

As it is anticipated that certain changes may be made in the present invention without departing from the precepts herein involved, it is intended that all matter contained in the foregoing description shall be interpreted as illustrative and not in a limiting sense. All references cited herein are expressly incorporated by reference.

What is claimed is:

1. A flame retardant, electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding gasket comprising:

a resilient core member extending lengthwise along a central longitudinal axis and having an outer surface extending circumferentially about said longitudinal axis, said core member being formed of a foamed elastomeric material;

an electrically-conductive fabric member surrounding the outer surface of said core member, said fabric member having an interior surface disposed facing the outer surface of said core member and an oppositely-facing exterior surface at least the exterior surface being electrically-conductive and the exterior surface defining with the interior surface a thickness dimension of the fabric member therebetween; and

a flame retardant layer coating at least a portion of the interior surface of said fabric member, said flame retardant layer penetrating into said fabric member to a depth which is less than the thickness dimension of said fabric member such that the exterior surface of said fabric member remains electrically-conductive.

2. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said flame retardant layer has a thickness of between about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm).

3. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said flame retardant layer is formed as a cured film of a flame retardant acrylic latex emulsion.

4. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said fabric member is a metal-plated cloth.

5. The gasket of claim **4** wherein said cloth comprises fibers selected from the group consisting of cotton, wool, silk, cellulose, polyester, polyamide, nylon, and combinations thereof, and said metal is selected from the group

consisting of copper, nickel, silver, nickel-plated-silver, aluminum, tin, and combinations thereof.

6. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said foamed elastomeric material is selected from the group consisting of polyethylenes, polypropylenes, polypropylene-EPDM blends, butadienes, styrene-butadienes, nitrites, chlorosulfonates, neoprenes, urethanes, silicones, and polyolefin resin/monoolefin copolymer blends, and combinations thereof.

7. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said fabric member has a thickness of between about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm).

8. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said flame retardant layer is effective to afford the gasket a flame class rating of V-0 under Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) Standard No. 94.

* * * * *

EXHIBIT
B

US006521348B2

(12) United States Patent
Bunyan et al.

(10) Patent No.: US 6,521,348 B2
(45) Date of Patent: *Feb. 18, 2003

(54) **FLAME RETARDANT EMI SHIELDING GASKET**

(75) Inventors: **Michael H. Bunyan**, Chelmsford, MA (US); **William I. Flanders**, Merimack, NH (US)

(73) Assignee: **Parker-Hannifin Corp.**, Cleveland, OH (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **10/142,803**

(22) Filed: **May 9, 2002**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2002/0125026 A1 Sep. 12, 2002

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 09/883,785, filed on Jun. 18, 2001, now Pat. No. 6,387,523, which is a continuation of application No. 09/250,338, filed on Feb. 16, 1999, now Pat. No. 6,428,393.

(60) Provisional application No. 60/076,370, filed on Feb. 27, 1998.

(51) **Int. Cl.**^{7} **B32B 5/14**; B32B 5/18; H05K 9/00

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **428/457**; 361/818

(58) **Field of Search** 427/77; 361/818; 428/457

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,178,410 A	12/1979	Tomita
4,396,661 A	8/1983	George et al.
4,447,484 A	5/1984	Slosberg et al.
4,489,126 A	12/1984	Holtrop et al.
4,531,994 A	7/1985	Holtrop et al.
4,540,617 A	9/1985	Kawanishi et al.
4,569,304 A	2/1986	Le Maitre
4,572,960 A	2/1986	Ebneth et al.
4,608,104 A	8/1986	Holtrop et al.
4,621,013 A	11/1986	Holtrop et al.

(List continued on next page.)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE	9013936		12/1990
EP	0326441		8/1989
FR	2308030		11/1976
JP	07-176889	*	7/1995
WO	WO 00/23513	*	4/2000
WO	WO 01/10182	*	2/2001

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Dye, James M. Compliance Engineering 17(3), pp 138 and 140–145, 2000.*

Kleiner, J. Vinyl Technol. vol. 4 No. 4, Dec. 1982, pp 157–159, 1982.*

Copy of the International Application Published Under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in International Patent Application No. PCT/US00/20609 (WO 01/10182) entitled: "Method and Apparatus for Manufacturing A Flame Retardant EMI Gasket".

(List continued on next page.)

Primary Examiner—Erma Cameron
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—John A. Molnar, Jr.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A flame retardant, electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding gasket construction. The construction includes a resilient core member formed of a foamed elastomeric material, an electrically-conductive fabric member surrounding the outer surface of the core member, and a flame retardant layer coating at least a portion of the interior surface of the fabric member. The flame retardant layer is effective to afford the gasket construction with a flame class rating of V-0 under Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) Standard No. 94.

18 Claims, 3 Drawing Sheets



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,666,765	A	5/1987	Caldwell et al.
4,684,762	A	8/1987	Gladfeiter
4,753,840	A	6/1988	Van Gompel
4,797,171	A	1/1989	Van Gompel
4,857,668	A	8/1989	Buonanno
4,871,477	A	10/1989	Dimanshteyn
4,988,550	A	1/1991	Keyser et al.
5,009,927	A	4/1991	Cloyd et al.
5,028,739	A	7/1991	Keyser et al.
5,045,635	A	9/1991	Kaplo et al.
5,089,325	A	2/1992	Covey
5,105,056	A	4/1992	Hoge, Jr. et al.
5,122,411	A	6/1992	Iwamoto et al.
5,202,536	A	4/1993	Buonanno
5,204,403	A	4/1993	Furuta et al.
5,266,354	A	11/1993	Tohyama et al.
5,612,092	A	3/1997	Strenger et al.
5,614,306	A	3/1997	Jobe et al.
5,635,252	A	6/1997	Fraser, Jr. et al.
5,641,544	A	6/1997	Melancon et al.
5,674,606	A	10/1997	Powers, Jr.
5,700,532	A	12/1997	Chiou
2001/0028558	A1 *	10/2001	Rapp et al. 361/818

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Chomerics Parker Hannifin Seals Catalog dated 1997 for Soft–Shield Low Closure Force EMI Gaskets.

Monsanto Flectron Metalized Materials dated Sep. 12, 1995.

Copy of International Search Report for PCT Case No. PCT/US99/04100, Jan. 7, 1999.

Underwriters Laboratories, Inc. letter dated Sep. 20, 1995.

* cited by examiner



Fig. 1



Fig. 2



Fig. 3



Fig. 4



Fig. 5



Fig. 6

FLAME RETARDANT EMI SHIELDING GASKET

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

This application is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 09/883,785, filed Jun. 18, 2001, which application is to issue as U.S. Pat. No. 6,387,523; which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 09/250,338, filed Feb. 16, 1999, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,428,393 and claiming priority to U.S. provisional application Serial No. 60/076,370, filed Feb. 27, 1998, the disclosure of each of which is expressly incorporated herein by reference.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates broadly to electrically-conductive, flame retardant materials for use in electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding, and to a method of manufacturing the same, and more particularly to an electrically-conductive fabric having a layer of a flame retardant coating applied to one surface thereof for use as a sheathing within an EMI shielding gasket.

The operation of electronic devices including televisions, radios, computers, medical instruments, business machines, communications equipment, and the like is attended by the generation of electromagnetic radiation within the electronic circuitry of the equipment. Such radiation often develops as a field or as transients within the radio frequency band of the electromagnetic spectrum, i.e., between about 10 KHz and 10 GHz, and is termed "electromagnetic interference" or "EMI" as being known to interfere with the operation of other proximate electronic devices.

To attenuate EMI effects, shielding having the capability of absorbing and/or reflecting EMI energy may be employed both to confine the EMI energy within a source device, and to insulate that device or other "target" devices from other source devices. Such shielding is provided as a barrier which is inserted between the source and the other devices, and typically is configured as an electrically conductive and grounded housing which encloses the device. As the circuitry of the device generally must remain accessible for servicing or the like, most housings are provided with openable or removable accesses such as doors, hatches, panels, or covers. Between even the flattest of these accesses and its corresponding mating or faying surface, however, there may be present gaps which reduce the efficiency of the shielding by presenting openings through which radiant energy may leak or otherwise pass into or out of the device. Moreover, such gaps represent discontinuities in the surface and ground conductivity of the housing or other shielding, and may even generate a secondary source of EMI radiation by functioning as a form of slot antenna. In this regard, bulk or surface currents induced within the housing develop voltage gradients across any interface gaps in the shielding, which gaps thereby function as antennas which radiate EMI noise. In general, the amplitude of the noise is proportional to the gap length, with the width of the gap having a less appreciable effect.

For filling gaps within mating surfaces of housings and other EMI shielding structures, gaskets and other seals have been proposed both for maintaining electrical continuity across the structure, and for excluding from the interior of the device such contaminates as moisture and dust. Such seals are bonded or mechanically attached to, or press-fit into, one of the mating surfaces, and function to close any interface gaps to establish a continuous conductive path

thereacross by conforming under an applied pressure to irregularities between the surfaces. Accordingly, seals intended for EMI shielding applications are specified to be of a construction which not only provides electrical surface conductivity even while under compression, but which also has a resiliency allowing the seals to conform to the size of the gap. The seals additionally must be wear resistant, economical to manufacture, and capability of withstanding repeated compression and relaxation cycles. For further information on specifications for EMI shielding gaskets, reference may be had to Severinsen, J., "Gaskets That Block EMI," Machine Design, Vol. 47, No. 19, pp. 74–77 (Aug. 7, 1975).

Requirements for typical EMI shielding applications often dictate a low impedance, low profile gasket which is deflectable under normal closure force loads. Other requirements include low cost and a design which provides an EMI shielding effectiveness for both the proper operation of the device and compliance, in the United States, with commercial Federal Communication Commission (FCC) EMC regulations.

A particularly economical gasket construction, which also requires very low closure forces, i.e. less than about 1 lb/inch (0.175 N/mm), is marketed by the Chomerics Division of Parker-Hannifin Corp., Woburn, Mass. under the tradename "Soft-Shield® 5000 Series." Such construction consists of an electrically-conductive jacket or sheathing which is "cigarette" wrapped lengthwise over a polyurethane or other foam core. As is described further in U.S. Pat. No. 4,871,477, polyurethane foams generally are produced by the reaction of polyisocyanate and a hydroxyl-functional polyol in the presence of a blowing agent. The blowing agent effects the expansion of the polymer structure into a multiplicity of open or closed cells.

The jacket is provided as a highly conductive, i.e., about 1 Ω-sq., nickel-plated-silver, woven rip-stop nylon which is self-terminating when cut. Advantageously, the jacket may be bonded to the core in a continuous molding process wherein the foam is blown or expanded within the jacket as the jacket is wrapped around the expanding foam and the foam and jacket are passed through a die and into a traveling molding. Similar gasket constructions are shown in commonly-assigned U.S. Pat. No. 5,028,739 and in U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,857,668; 5,054,635; 5,105,056; and 5,202,536.

Many electronic devices, including PC's and communication equipment, must not only comply with certain FCC requirements, but also must meet be approved under certain Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) standards for flame retardancy. In this regard, if each of the individual components within an electronic device is UL approved, then the device itself does not require separate approval. Ensuring UL approval for each component therefore reduces the cost of compliance for the manufacturer, and ultimately may result in cheaper goods for the consumer. For EMI shielding gaskets, however, such gaskets must be made flame retardant, i.e., achieving a rating of V-0 under UL Std. No. 94, "Tests for Flammability of Plastic Materials for Parts in Devices and Appliances" (1991), without compromising the electrical conductivity necessary for meeting EMI shielding requirements.

In this regard, and particularly with respect to EMI shielding gaskets of the above-described fabric over foam variety, it has long been recognized that foamed polymeric materials are flammable and, in certain circumstances, may present a fire hazard. Owing to their cellular structure, high organic content, and surface area, most foam materials are

subject to relatively rapid decomposition upon exposure to fire or high temperatures.

One approach for imparting flame retardancy to fabric over foam gaskets has been to employ the sheathing as a flame resistant protective layer for the foam. Indeed, V-0 rating compliance purportedly has been achieved by sheathing the foam within an electrically-conductive Ni/Cu-plated fabric to which a thermoplastic sheet is hot nipped or otherwise fusion bonding to the underside thereof. Such fabrics, which may be further described in one or more of U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,489,126; 4,531,994; 4,608,104; and/or 4,621,013, have been marketed by Monsanto Co., St. Louis, under the tradename "Flectron® Ni/Cu Polyester Taffeta V0."

Other fabric over foam gaskets, as is detailed in U.S. Pat. No. 4,857,668, incorporate a supplemental layer or coating applied to the interior surface of the sheath. Such coating may be a flame-retardant urethane formulation which also promotes the adhesion of the sheath to the foam. The coating additionally may function to reduce bleeding of the foam through the fabric which otherwise could compromise the electrical conductivity of the sheath.

In view of the foregoing, it will be appreciated that further improvements in the design of flame retardant, fabric-over foam EMI shielding gaskets, as well as sheathing materials therefore, would be well-received by the electronics industry. Especially desired would be a flame retardant gasket construction which achieves a UL94 rating of V-0.

BROAD STATEMENT OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is directed to an electrically-conductive, flame retardant material for use in fabric-over-foam EMI shielding gaskets, and to a method of manufacturing the same. In having a layer of a flame retardant coating applied to one side of an electrically-conductive, generally porous fabric, the material of the invention affords UL94 V-0 protection when used as a jacketing in a fabric-over-foam gasket construction. Advantageously, as the flame retardant layer may be wet coated on the fabric without appreciable bleed through, a relatively thin, i.e., 2–4 mil (0.05–0.10 mm), coating layer may be provided on one fabric side without compromising the electrical surface conductivity of the other side. Such a thin coating layer, while being sufficient to provide UL94 V-0 protection, nonetheless maintains the drapability the fabric and thereby facilitates the construction UL94 V-0 compliant gaskets having complex profiles or narrow cross-sections down to about 1 mm.

In a preferred embodiment, the electrically-conductive, flame retardant EMI shielding material of the invention includes a nickel or silver-plated, woven nylon, polyester, or like fabric on one side of which is wet coated a layer of a flame retardant, acrylic latex emulsion or other fluent resin composition. In accordance with the precepts of the method of the invention, the viscosity and hydrodynamic pressure of the emulsion are controlled such that the coating does not penetrate or otherwise "bleed through" the uncoated side of the fabric. The surface conductivity of the opposite side of the fabric therefore is not compromised in EMI shielding applications.

The material of the invention may be employed as a jacket in fabric-over-foam EMI shielding gasket constructions, and is particularly adapted for use in the continuous molding process for such gaskets. As used within such process, the fabric may be wrapped around the foam as a jacket with coated side thereof being disposed as an interior surface adjacent the foam, and the uncoated side being disposed as an electrically-conductive exterior surface. Advantageously, the coating on the interior surface of the jacket blocks the pores of the fabric to retain the foam therein without penetrate or bleed through to the exterior surface. In being formed of a acrylic material, the coated interior surface of the jacket may function, moreover, depending upon the composition of the foam, as a compatibilizing or "tie" interlayer which promotes the bonding of the foam to the fabric.

The present invention, accordingly, comprises material and method possessing the construction, combination of elements, and arrangement of parts and steps which are exemplified in the detailed disclosure to follow. Advantages of the present invention include a flame retardant yet drapable EMI shielding fabric. Additional advantages include an economical, flame retardant EMI shielding fabric construction wherein a relatively thin layer of a flame retardant coating may be wet coated onto one side of an electrically-conductive, woven or other generally porous EMI shielding fabric without compromising the conductivity of the other side of the fabric. These and other advantages will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art based upon the disclosure contained herein.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

For a fuller understanding of the nature and objects of the invention, reference should be had to the following detailed description taken in connection with the accompanying drawings wherein:

FIG. **1** is a perspective view of one embodiment of an EMI shielding material according to the present invention which material includes a generally planar fabric member on one side of which is coated a layer of a flame retardant composition, the view being shown with portions being broken away to better reveal the structure of the material;

FIG. **2** is an enlarged cross-sectional view of the EMI shielding material of FIG. **1** taken through plane represented by line **2**—**2** of FIG. **1**;

FIG. **3** is a top view of the material of FIG. **1** which is magnified to reveal the structure of the fabric member thereof;

FIG. **4** is a perspective cross-sectional view of a length of a representative EMI shielding gasket construction according to the present invention including a jacket which is formed of the EMI shielding material of FIG. **1**;

FIG. **5** is an end view of the gasket of FIG. **4** which is magnified to reveal the structure thereof; and

FIG. **6** is a schematic, partially cross-sectional view of an illustrative gravity-fed, knife over roll coater as adapted for use in the manufacture of the EMI shielding material of FIG. **1**.

The drawings will be described further in connection with the following Detailed Description of the Invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Certain terminology may be employed in the description to follow for convenience rather than for any limiting purpose. For example, the terms "upper" and "lower" designate directions in the drawings to which reference is made, with the terms "inner" or "interior" and "outer" or "exterior" referring, respectively, to directions toward and away from the center of the referenced element, and the terms "radial" and "axial" referring, respectively, to directions perpendicu-

lar and parallel to the longitudinal central axis of the referenced element. Terminology of similar import other than the words specifically mentioned above likewise is to be considered as being used for purposes of convenience rather than in any limiting sense.

For the illustrative purposes of the discourse to follow, the electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding material herein involved is described in connection with its use as a flame retardant, electrically-conductive jacket for a foam core, EMI shielding gasket as may be adapted to be received within an interface, such as between a door, panel, hatch, cover, or other parting line of an electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding structure. The EMI shielding structure may be the conductive housing of a computer, communications equipment, or other electronic device or equipment which generates EMI radiation or is susceptible to the effects thereof. The gasket may be bonded or fastened to, or press-fit into one of a pair of mating surfaces which define the interface within the housing, and functions between the mating surfaces to seal any interface gaps or other irregularities. That is, while under an applied pressure, the gasket resiliently conforms to any such irregularities both to establish a continuous conductive path across the interface, and to environmentally seal the interior of the housing against the ingress of dust, moisture, or other contaminates. It will be appreciated, however, that aspects of the present invention may find utility in other EMI shielding applications. Use within those such other applications therefore should be considered to be expressly within the scope of the present invention.

Referring then to the figures, wherein corresponding reference characters are used to designate corresponding elements throughout the several views, a flame retardant EMI shielding material according to the present invention is shown generally at 10 in FIG. **1** as generally adapted for use as a jacket within for a foam core gasket construction. For purposes of illustration, material sheet **10** is shown to be of indefinite dimensions which may be cut to size for the particular application envisioned. In basic construction, material **10** includes an upper, generally planar and porous fabric member, **12**, and a lower, flame retardant coating member, **14**.

Fabric member has at least an electrically-conductive first side, **16**, and a conductive or non-conductive second side, **18**, defining a thickness dimension, referenced at "t_1" in the cross-sectional view of FIG. **2**, which may vary from about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm). By "electrically-conductive," it is meant that the fabric may be rendered conductive, i.e., to a surface resistivity of about 0.1 Ω/sq. or less, by reason of its being constructed of electrically-conductive wire, monofilaments, yarns or other fibers or, alternatively, by reason of a treatment such as a plating or sputtering being applied to non-conductive fibers to provide an electrically-conductive layer thereon. Preferred electrically-conductive fibers include Monel nickel-copper alloy, silver-plated copper, nickel-clad copper, Ferrex® tin-plated copper-clad steel, aluminum, tin-clad copper, phosphor bronze, carbon, graphite, and conductive polymers. Preferred non-conductive fibers include cotton, wool, silk, cellulose, polyester, polyamide, nylon, and polyimide monofilaments or yarns which are rendered electrically conductive with a metal plating of copper, nickel, silver, nickel-plated-silver, aluminum, tin, or an alloy thereof. As is known, the metal plating may applied to individual fiber strands or to the surfaces of the fabric after weaving, knitting, or other fabrication.

While fabrics such as wire meshes, knits, and non-woven cloths and webs may find application, a preferred fabric

construction for member **12** is a plain weave nylon or polyester cloth which is made electrically conductive with between about 20–40% by weight based on the total fabric weight, i.e., 0.01–0.10 g/in^2, of a silver, nickel-silver, or silver-nickel over copper plating. As may be seen in the magnified view of FIG. **1** referenced at **20** in FIG. **3**, such cloth is permeable in having a plain, generally square weave pattern with pores or openings, one of which is referenced at **22**, being defined between the fibers which are represented schematically at **24**. Fibers **24** may be yarns, monofilaments or, preferably, bundles of from about 10–20 filaments or threads, each having a diameter of between about 10–50 gm. For example, with fibers **24** each being a bundle of such threads with a thread count of between about 1000–3000 per inch and a weave count of between about 1000–1500 per inch, 1000–2000 openings per inch will be defined with a mean average pore size of between about 0.5–2 mils (12.5–50 μm).

Although a plain, square weave pattern such as a taffeta, tabby, or ripstop is considered preferred, other weaves such as satins, twills, and the like also should be considered within the scope of the invention herein involved. A particularly preferred cloth for fabric member **12** is a 4 mil (0.10 mm) thick, 1.8 oz/yd^2 weight, silver-plated, woven nylon which is marketed commercially under the designation "31EN RIPSTOP" by Swift Textile Metalizing Corp., Bloomfield, Conn. However, depending upon the needs of the specific shielding application, a fabric constructed of a combination or blend of conductive and nonconductive fibers alternatively may be employed. Examples of fabrics woven, braided, or warp knitted from electrically-conductive fibers, or from blends of conductive and non-conductive fibers, are described in Gladfelter, U.S. Pat. No. 4,684,762, and in Buonanno, U.S. Pat. No. 4,857,668.

Returning to FIGS. **1** and **2**, coating member **14** preferably is formed from a curable layer of a fluent, flame retardant resin or other composition which is wet coated onto the second side **18** of fabric member **12**. As is detailed hereinafter, the viscosity and hydrodynamic pressure of the resin composition are controlled in accordance with the precepts of the present invention to delimit the penetration of the resin layer to a depth, referenced at "d" in FIG. **2**, which is less than the thickness dimension t_1 of the fabric member **12**. In this regard, when the layer is cured to form the flame retardant surface coating member **14** on the second side **18** of fabric member **12**, the first side **16** thereof remains electrically-conductive. In a preferred construction, the layer is coated to a wet thickness of about 10 mils (0.25 mm), and then cured to a dried coating or film thickness, referenced at t_2 in FIG. **2**, of between about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm) at a depth d of about 1–2 mils (0.025–0.05 mm). Ultimately, a total material thickness, referenced at "T," of between about 6–7 mils (0.15–0.20 mm) and a dried weight pickup of between about 100–150 g/yd^2 are observed. By "cured" it is meant that the resin is polymerized, cross-linked, further cross-linked or polymerized, vulcanized, hardened, dried, volatilized, or otherwise chemically or physically changed from a liquid or other fluent form into a solid polymeric or elastomeric phase.

The flame retardant composition preferably is formulated as an aqueous emulsion of an acrylic latex emulsion which is adjusted to a total solids of about 60% and a Brookfield viscosity (#5 spindle, 4 speed) of between about 40,000–60,000 cps, at a density of about 10 lbs per gallon (1.8 g/cm^3). Flame retardancy may be imparted by loading the emulsion with between about 30–50% by weight of one or more conventional flame retardant additives such as aluminum

hydrate, antimony trioxide, phosphate esters, or halogenated compounds such as polybrominated diphenyl oxides. A preferred formulation is a mixture of about 25% by weight, based on the total weight of the emulsion, of decambromodiphenyl oxide and about 15% by weight of one or more antimony compounds. In operation, should the acrylic carrier phase be ignited, the decomposition of the halogenated and metal oxide compounds function to chemically deprive the flame of sufficient oxygen to support combustion. The decomposition of the acrylic phase additionally may lead to the development of a protective, i.e., thermally-insulative or refractory, outer char layer.

A preferred flame retardant, acrylic latex emulsion is marketed commercially by Heveatex Corp., Fall River, Mass., under the designation "4129FR." The viscosity of the emulsion may be adjusted to between about 40,000–60,000 cps using an aqueous acryloid gel or other acrylic thickener. In this regard, the increased viscosity of the emulsion contributes to delimiting the penetration of the coating layer into the fabric member. However, as this relatively high viscosity may lead to undesirable porosity in the dried film, the emulsion additionally may be modified to reduce air entrapment and bubble formation in the coating layer with up to about 1% by weight of one or more commercial surfactants such as "Bubble Breaker" by Witco Chemical Corp. (Chicago, Ill.) and "Foam Master Antifoam" by Diamond Shamrock, Inc. (San Antonio, Tex.).

As aforementioned, EMI shielding material **10** of the present invention is particularly adapted for use as a flame retardant, electrically-conductive jacket which is provided over a foam core in an EMI shielding gasket construction such as gasket **50** of FIG. **4**. In a representative embodiment, gasket **50** includes an elongate, resilient foam core member, **52**, which may be of an indefinite length. Core member **52** has an outer circumferential surface, **54**, defining the cross-sectional profile of gasket **50** which, for illustrative purposes, is of a generally polygonal, i.e., square or rectangular geometry. Other plane profiles, such as circular, semicircular, or elliptical, or complex profiles may be substituted, however, depending upon the geometry of the interface to be sealed. Core member **12** may be of any radial or diametric extent, but for most applications will have a diametric extent or width of from about 0.25 inch (0.64 cm) to 1 inch (2.54 cm).

For affording gap-filling capabilities, it is preferred that core member **52** is provided to be complaint over a wide range of temperatures, and to exhibit good compression-relaxation hysteresis even after repeated cyclings or long compressive dwells. Core member **52** therefore may be formed of a foamed elastomeric thermoplastic such as a polyethylene, polypropylene, polypropylene-EPDM blend, butadiene, styrene-butadiene, nitrile, chlorosulfonate, or a foamed neoprene, urethane, or silicone. Preferred materials of construction include open or closed cell urethanes or blends such as a polyolefin resin/monoolefin copolymer blend, or a neoprene, silicone, or nitrile sponge rubber.

Core member **52** may be provided as an extruded or molded foam profile over which shielding material **10** is wrapped as a sheathed, with the edges of sheathed being overlapped as at **56**. In a preferred construction, shielding material **10** is bonded to the core member **52** in a continuous molding process wherein the foam is blown or expanded within the shielding material. As may be seen best with reference to the magnified view of FIG. **4** referenced at **60** in FIG. **5**, in such construction coating member **14** is disposed adjacent core member **52** as an interior surface, **62**, of shielding member **10**, with the uncoated side **16** of fabric member **12** being oppositely disposed as an electrically-conductive exterior surface, **64**, of the gasket **50**. It will be appreciated that the coated interior surface **62** blocks the pores **22** (FIG. **3**) of the fabric member **12** of the fabric to retain the blown foam therein without penetrate or bleed through to the exterior gasket surface **64**. Depending upon the respective compositions of the foam and coating, the interior surface **62** may function, moreover, as a compatibilizing or "tie" interlayer which promotes the bonding of the foam to the fabric. Gasket construction **50** advantageously provides a structure that may be used in very low closure force, i.e. less than about 1 lb/inch (0.175 N/mm), applications.

Referring again to FIG. **4**, an adhesive layer, **70**, may be applied along the lengthwise extent of gasket **50** to the underside of exterior surface **64** for the attachment of the gasket to a substrate. Such layer **70** preferably is formulated to be of a pressure sensitive adhesive (PSA) variety. As is described in U.S. Pat. No. 4,988,550, suitable PSA's for EMI shielding applications include formulations based on silicones, neoprene, styrene butadiene copolymers, acrylics, acrylates, polyvinyl ethers, polyvinyl acetate copolymers, polyisobutylenes, and mixtures, blends, and copolymers thereof. Acrylic-based formulations, however, generally are considered to be preferred for the EMI applications of the type herein involved. Although PSA's are preferred for adhesive layer **70**, other adhesives such as epoxies and urethanes may be substituted and, accordingly, are to be considered within the scope of the present invention. Heat-fusible adhesives such a hot-melts and thermoplastic films additionally may find applicability.

Inasmuch as the bulk conductivity of gasket **50** is determined substantially through its surface contact with the substrate, an electrically-conductive PSA may be preferred to ensure optimal EMI shielding performance. Such adhesives conventionally are formulated as containing about 1–25% by weight of a conductive filler to yield a volume resistivity of from about 0.01–0.001 Ω-cm. The filler may be incorporated in the form of particles, fibers, flakes, microspheres, or microballoons, and may range in size of from about 1–100 microns. Typically filler materials include inherently conductive material such as metals, carbon, and graphite, or nonconductive materials such as plastic or glass having a plating of a conductive material such as a noble metal or the like. In this regard, the means by which the adhesive is rendered electrically conductive is not considered to be a critical aspect of the present invention, such that any means achieving the desired conductivity and adhesion are to be considered suitable.

For protecting the outer portion of adhesive layer **70** which is exposed on the exterior surface of the gasket, a release sheets, shown at **72**, may be provided as removably attached to the exposed adhesive. As is common in the adhesive art, release sheet **72** may be provided as strip of a waxed, siliconized, or other coated paper or plastic sheet or the like having a relatively low surface energy so as to be removable without appreciable lifting of the adhesive from the exterior surface **64**.

In the production of commercial quantities of the EMI shielding material **10** of the present invention, the viscosity adjusted and otherwise modified acrylic latex emulsion or other resin composition may be coated and cured on one side the fabric member **12** by a direct wet process such as knife over roll or slot die. With whatever process is employed, the hydrodynamic pressure of the resin composition is controlled in accordance with the precepts of the present invention to delimit the penetration of the resin layer to a depth

which is less than the thickness dimension of the fabric member. For example, and with reference to FIG. **6** wherein the head of a representative gravity-fed knife over roll coater is shown somewhat schematically at **100**, porous, i.e., permeable, fabric member **12** is conveyed from a feed roll or the like (not shown) over a nip roller, **102**, which rotates in the direction referenced by arrow **104**. With the first side **16** of fabric member **12** supported on roller **102**, the fabric second side **18** is passed beneath the opening, referenced at **106**, of a coating trough, **108**. Trough **108** is defined by a front plate, **110**, a back plate, **112**, and a pair of side plates (not shown).

The emulsion or other fluent resin composition, referenced at **114**, is pumped or otherwise transported into trough **108** which is filled to a fluid level, referenced at h. For a given fluid density, this level h is controlled such that the hydrodynamic pressure at the fabric-liquid interface is maintained within preset limits. For example, with a fluid density of about 10 pounds per gallon (1.8 g/cm^3), and a fabric having a porosity of about 1000–2000 openings per inch with a mean average pore size of between about 0.5–2 mils (12.5–50 μm), the fluid level H is controlled at about 4 inches (10 cm) to yield a hydrodynamic pressure of about 0.05 psi (0.35 kPa) at the fabric-liquid interface. For other coating processes, the hydrodynamic fluid pressure may be controlled, for example, by a pumping pressure or the like.

In the illustrative knife-over-roll coating process, the lower edge, **120**, of front plate **110** defines a knife surface which is shimmed or otherwise spaced-apart a predetermined distance from the second side **18** of fabric member **12**. Such spacing provides a clearance or gap, referenced at "g," of typically about 10 mils (0.25 mm), but which is adjustable to regulate the thickness of the liquid coating layer, **122**, being applied to the fabric member. From roller **104**, the coated fabric member **12** may be conveyed via a take-up roller arrangement (not shown) through a in-line oven or the like to dry or flash the water or other diluent in the liquid coating layer **122**, or to otherwise cure the liquid coating layer **122** in developing an adherent, tack-free, film or other layer of coating member **14** (FIG. **1**) on the single side **18** of fabric member **12**.

The Example to follow, wherein all percentages and proportions are by weight unless otherwise expressly indicated, is illustrative of the practicing of the invention herein involved, but should not be construed in any limiting sense.

EXAMPLE

Representative EMI shielding materials according to the present invention were constructed for characterization. In this regard, a master batch of a flame retardant coating composition was compounded using an acrylic latex emulsion (Heveatex "4129FR"). The viscosity of the emulsion was adjusted to a Brookfield viscosity (#4 spindle, 40 speed) of about 60,000 cps with about 5 wt % of an acryloid thickener (Acrysol™ GS, Monsanto Co., St. Louis, Mo.). The modified emulsion had a total solids content of about 60% by weight, a density of about 10 pounds per gallon (1.8 g/cm^3), and a pH of between about 7.5 and 9.5.

The emulsion was applied using a knife over roll coater (JETZONE Model 7319, Wolverine Corp., Merrimac, Mass.) to one side of a silver-plated nylon fabric (Swift "31EN RIPSTOP") having a thickness of about 4 mils (0.1 mm). With the fluid level in the coating trough of the coater maintained at about 4 inch (10 cm), the emulsion was delivered to the surface of the cloth at a hydrodynamic

pressure of about 0.05 psi (0.35 kPa). The coating knife was shimmed to a 10 mil (0.25 mm) gap above the fabric to yield a wet coating draw down thickness of about 10 mils. Following an oven curing at 100–125° C. for 5 minutes, a dried coating or film thickness of about 2.5 mils (0.635 mm) was obtained with a weight pickup of about 130–145 g/yd^2 and a total material thickness of between about 6–7 mils (0.15–0.18 mm). An inspection of the coated fabric cloth revealed a coating penetration depth of about 1–2 mils (0.02–0.05 mm) providing acceptable mechanical retention and/or adhesion of the coating onto the fabric surface. The opposite side of the fabric, however, was observed to be substantially coating free, and to retain a surface resistivity of about 0.1 Ω/sq for unaffected EMI shielding effectiveness.

Fabric samples similarly coated in the manner described were subjected to an in-house vertical flame test. No burning was observed at dried film thickness of 2, 3, or 4 mils (0.05, 0.08, 0.10 mm). Accordingly, a reasonable operating window of film thickness was suggested for production runs.

Samples also were provided, as jacketed over a polyurethane foam core in an EMI shielding gasket construction, for flame testing by Underwriters Laboratories, Inc., Melville, N.Y. A flame class rating of V-0 under UL94 was assigned at a minimum thickness of 1.0 mm. The gasket construction therefore was found to be compliant with the applicable UL requirements, and was approved to bear the "UL" certification mark.

The foregoing results confirm that the EMI shielding material of the present invention affords UL94 V-0 protection when used as a jacketing in a fabric-over-foam gasket construction. Unexpectedly, it was found that a relatively porous or permeable fabric may be wet coated on one side with a relatively thin, i.e., 2–4 mil (0.05–0.10 mm), coating layer of a flame retardant composition without compromising the electrical surface conductivity of the other side. Such a thin coating layer, while being sufficient to provide UL94 V-0 protection in a conventional fabric-over-foam gasket construction, nonetheless maintains the drapability the fabric and thereby facilitates the fabrication of UL94 V-0 compliant gaskets having complex profiles or narrow cross-sections down to about 1 mm.

As it is anticipated that certain changes may be made in the present invention without departing from the precepts herein involved, it is intended that all matter contained in the foregoing description shall be interpreted as illustrative and not in a limiting sense. All references cited herein are expressly incorporated by reference.

What is claimed is:

1. A flame retardant, electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding gasket comprising:

a resilient core member which is not V-0 rated under Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) Standard No. 94 extending lengthwise along a central longitudinal axis and having an outer surface extending circumferentially about said longitudinal axis, said core member being formed of a foamed elastomeric material;

an electrically-conductive fabric member surrounding the outer surface of said core member, said fabric member having an interior surface disposed facing the outer surface of said core member and an oppositely-facing, exterior surface, at least the exterior surface being electrically-conductive and the exterior surface defining with the interior surface a thickness dimension of the fabric member therebetween; and

a flame retardant layer coating at least a portion of the interior surface of said fabric member, said flame

retardant layer being effective to afford said gasket a flame class rating of V-0 under Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) Standard No. 94 and penetrating into said fabric member to a depth which is less than the thickness dimension of said fabric member such that the exterior surface of said fabric member remains electrically-conductive.

2. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said flame retardant layer has a thickness of between about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm).

3. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said flame retardant layer is formed as a cured film of a flame retardant acrylic latex emulsion.

4. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said fabric member is a metal-plated cloth.

5. The gasket of claim **4** wherein said cloth comprises fibers selected from the group consisting of cotton, wool, silk, cellulose, polyester, polyamide, nylon, and combinations thereof, and said metal is selected from the group consisting of copper, nickel, silver, nickel-plated-silver, aluminum, tin, and combinations thereof.

6. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said foamed elastomeric material is selected from the group consisting of polyethylenes, polypropylenes, polypropylene-EPDM blends, butadienes, styrene-butadienes, nitriles, chlorosulfonates, neoprenes, urethanes, silicones, and polyolefin resin/monoolefin copolymer blends, and combinations thereof.

7. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said fabric member has a thickness of between about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm).

8. A flame retardant, electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding gasket comprising:

a resilient core member extending lengthwise along a central longitudinal axis and having an outer surface extending circumferentially about said longitudinal axis, said core member being formed of a foamed elastomeric material;

an electrically-conductive fabric member surrounding the outer surface of said core member, said fabric member having an interior surface disposed facing the outer surface of said core member and an oppositely-facing, exterior surface, at least the exterior surface being electrically-conductive and the exterior surface defining with the interior surface a thickness dimension of the fabric member therebetween; and

a flame retardant layer coating at least a portion of the interior surface of said fabric member, said flame retardant layer comprising between about 30–50% by weight of one or more flame retardant additives and penetrating into said fabric member to a depth which is less than the thickness dimension of said fabric member such that the exterior surface of said fabric member remains electrically-conductive.

9. The gasket of claim **8** wherein said flame retardant layer has a thickness of between about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm).

10. The gasket of claim **8** wherein said flame retardant layer is formed as a cured film of a flame retardant acrylic latex emulsion.

11. The gasket of claim **8** wherein said fabric member is a metal-plated cloth.

12. The gasket of claim **11** wherein said cloth comprises fibers selected from the group consisting of cotton, wool, silk, cellulose, polyester, polyamide, nylon, and combinations thereof, and said metal is selected from the group consisting of copper, nickel, silver, nickel-plated-silver, aluminum, tin, and combinations thereof.

13. The gasket of claim **8** wherein said foamed elastomeric material is selected from the group consisting of polyethylenes, polypropylenes, polypropylene-EPDM blends, butadienes, styrene-butadienes, nitriles, chlorosulfonates, neoprenes, urethanes, silicones, and polyolefin resin/monoolefin copolymer blends, and combinations thereof.

14. The gasket of claim **8** wherein said fabric member has a thickness of between about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm).

15. The gasket of claim **8** wherein said flame retardant layer is effective to afford the gasket a flame class rating of V-0 under Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) Standard No. 94.

16. The gasket of claim **15** wherein said core member is not V-0 rated under Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) Standard No. 94.

17. The gasket of claim **8** wherein said core member is not V-0 rated under Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) Standard No. 94.

18. The gasket of claim **8** wherein said one or more flame retardant additives are selected from the group consisting of aluminum hydrate, antimony trioxide, phosphate esters, and halogenated compounds.

* * * * *

EXHIBIT C

US006716536B2

(12) United States Patent
Bunyan et al.

(10) Patent No.: US 6,716,536 B2
(45) Date of Patent: *Apr. 6, 2004

(54) **FLAME RETARDANT EMI SHIELDING GASKET**

(75) Inventors: **Michael H. Bunyan**, Chelmsford, MA (US); **William I. Flanders**, Merimack, NH (US)

(73) Assignee: **Parker-Hannifin Corporation**, Cleveland, OH (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **10/318,609**

(22) Filed: **Dec. 11, 2002**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2003/0124934 A1 Jul. 3, 2003

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 10/142,803, filed on May 9, 2002, now Pat. No. 6,521,348, which is a continuation of application No. 09/883,785, filed on Jun. 18, 2001, now Pat. No. 6,387,523, which is a continuation of application No. 09/250,338, filed on Feb. 16, 1999, now Pat. No. 6,248,393.

(60) Provisional application No. 60/076,370, filed on Feb. 27, 1998.

(51) **Int. Cl.**[7] **B32B 5/14**; B32B 5/18; H05K 9/00

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **428/457**; 361/818

(58) **Field of Search** 428/457; 361/818

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,178,410 A	12/1979	Tomita
4,396,661 A	8/1983	George et al.
4,447,484 A	5/1984	Slosberg et al.
4,489,126 A	12/1984	Holtrop et al.
4,531,994 A	7/1985	Holtrop et al.
4,540,617 A	9/1985	Kawanishi et al.

(List continued on next page.)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE	9013936		12/1990
FR	2308030		11/1976
JP	07-176889	*	7/1995
WO	0326441		8/1989

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Kleiner, J. Vinyl Technol. vol 4 No. 4, Dec. 1982, pp 157–159.*

Dye, James M. Compliance Engineering 17(3), pp 138 and 140–145, 2000.*

Copy of International Search Report for PCT Case No. PCT/US99/04100, Jan. 7, 1999.

Chomerics Parker Hannifin Seals Catalog dated 1997 for Soft–Shield Low Closure Force EMI Gaskets.

Monsanto Flectron Metalized Materials dated Sep. 12, 1995.

Copy of the International Application Published Under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in International Patent Application No. PCT/US00/20609 (WO 01/10182) entitled: "Method and Apparatus for Manufacturing A Flame Retardant EMI Gasket" Feb. 2001.

Underwriters Laboratories, Inc. letter dated Sep. 20, 1995.

Primary Examiner—Erma Cameron
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—John A. Molnar, Jr.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A flame retardant, electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding gasket construction. The construction includes a resilient core member formed of a foamed elastomeric material, an electrically-conductive fabric member surrounding the outer surface of the core member, and a flame retardant layer coating at least a portion of the interior surface of the fabric member. The flame retardant layer is effective to afford the gasket construction with a flame class rating of V-0 under Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) Standard No. 94.

9 Claims, 3 Drawing Sheets



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,569,304	A		2/1986	Le Maitre	
4,572,960	A		2/1986	Ebneth et al.	
4,608,104	A		8/1986	Holtrop et al.	
4,621,013	A		11/1986	Holtrop et al.	
4,666,765	A		5/1987	Caldwell et al.	
4,684,762	A		8/1987	Gladfeiter	
4,753,840	A		6/1988	Van Gompel	
4,797,171	A		1/1989	Van Gompel	
4,857,668	A		8/1989	Buonanno	
4,871,477	A		10/1989	Dimanshteyn	
4,988,550	A		1/1991	Keyser et al.	
5,009,927	A		4/1991	Cloyd et al.	
5,028,739	A		7/1991	Keyser et al.	
5,045,635	A		9/1991	Kaplo et al.	
5,089,325	A		2/1992	Covey	
5,105,056	A		4/1992	Hoge, Jr. et al.	
5,122,411	A		6/1992	Iwamoto et al.	
5,202,536	A		4/1993	Buonanno	
5,204,403	A		4/1993	Furuta et al.	
5,266,354	A		11/1993	Tohyama et al.	
5,612,092	A		3/1997	Strenger et al.	
5,614,306	A		3/1997	Jobe et al.	
5,635,252	A		6/1997	Fraser, Jr. et al.	
5,641,438	A	*	6/1997	Bunyan et al.	264/40.3
5,641,544	A		6/1997	Melancon et al.	
5,674,606	A		10/1997	Powers, Jr.	
5,700,532	A		12/1997	Chiou	

* cited by examiner



Fig. 1



Fig. 2



Fig. 3



Fig. 4



Fig. 5



Fig. 6

FLAME RETARDANT EMI SHIELDING GASKET

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

This application is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 10/142,803 filed May 9, 2002, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,521,348, which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 09/883,785, filed Jun. 18, 2001, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,387,523; which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 09/250,338, filed Feb. 16, 1999, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,248,393 and claiming priority to U.S. provisional application Ser. No. 60/076,370, filed Feb. 27, 1998, the disclosure of each of which is expressly incorporated herein by reference.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates broadly to electrically-conductive, flame retardant materials for use in electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding, and to a method of manufacturing the same, and more particularly to an electrically-conductive fabric having a layer of a flame retardant coating applied to one surface thereof for use as a sheathing within an EMI shielding gasket.

The operation of electronic devices including televisions, radios, computers, medical instruments, business machines, communications equipment, and the like is attended by the generation of electromagnetic radiation within the electronic circuitry of the equipment. Such radiation often develops as a field or as transients within the radio frequency band of the electromagnetic spectrum, i.e., between about 10 KHz and 10 GHz, and is termed "electromagnetic interference" or "EMI" as being known to interfere with the operation of other proximate electronic devices.

To attenuate EMI effects, shielding having the capability of absorbing and/or reflecting EMI energy may be employed both to confine the EMI energy within a source device, and to insulate that device or other "target" devices from other source devices. Such shielding is provided as a barrier which is inserted between the source and the other devices, and typically is configured as an electrically conductive and grounded housing which encloses the device. As the circuitry of the device generally must remain accessible for servicing or the like, most housings are provided with openable or removable accesses such as doors, hatches, panels, or covers. Between even the flattest of these accesses and its corresponding mating or faying surface, however, there may be present gaps which reduce the efficiency of the shielding by presenting openings through which radiant energy may leak or otherwise pass into or out of the device. Moreover, such gaps represent discontinuities in the surface and ground conductivity of the housing or other shielding, and may even generate a secondary source of EMI radiation by functioning as a form of slot antenna. In this regard, bulk or surface currents induced within the housing develop voltage gradients across any interface gaps in the shielding, which gaps thereby function as antennas which radiate EMI noise. In general, the amplitude of the noise is proportional to the gap length, with the width of the gap having a less appreciable effect.

For filling gaps within mating surfaces of housings and other EMI shielding structures, gaskets and other seals have been proposed both for maintaining electrical continuity across the structure, and for excluding from the interior of the device such contaminates as moisture and dust. Such seals are bonded or mechanically attached to, or press-fit

into, one of the mating surfaces, and function to close any interface gaps to establish a continuous conductive path thereacross by conforming under an applied pressure to irregularities between the surfaces. Accordingly, seals intended for EMI shielding applications are specified to be of a construction which not only provides electrical surface conductivity even while under compression, but which also has a resiliency allowing the seals to conform to the size of the gap. The seals additionally must be wear resistant, economical to manufacture, and capability of withstanding repeated compression and relaxation cycles. For further information on specifications for EMI shielding gaskets, reference may be had to Severinsen, J., "Gaskets That Block EMI," Machine Design, Vol. 47, No. 19, pp. 74–77 (Aug. 7, 1975).

Requirements for typical EMI shielding applications often dictate a low impedance, low profile gasket which is deflectable under normal closure force loads. Other requirements include low cost and a design which provides an EMI shielding effectiveness for both the proper operation of the device and compliance, in the United States, with commercial Federal Communication Commission (FCC) EMC regulations.

A particularly economical gasket construction, which also requires very low closure forces, i.e. less than about 1 lb/inch (0.175 N/mm), is marketed by the Chomerics Division of Parker-Hannifin Corp., Woburn, Mass. under the tradename "Soft-Shield® 5000 Series." Such construction consists of an electrically-conductive jacket or sheathing which is "cigarette" wrapped lengthwise over a polyurethane or other foam core. As is described further in U.S. Pat. No. 4,871,477, polyurethane foams generally are produced by the reaction of polyisocyanate and a hydroxyl-functional polyol in the presence of a blowing agent. The blowing agent effects the expansion of the polymer structure into a multiplicity of open or closed cells.

The jacket is provided as a highly conductive, i.e., about 1 Ω-sq., nickel-plated-silver, woven rip-stop nylon which is self-terminating when cut. Advantageously, the jacket may be bonded to the core in a continuous molding process wherein the foam is blown or expanded within the jacket as the jacket is wrapped around the expanding foam and the foam and jacket are passed through a die and into a traveling molding. Similar gasket constructions are shown in commonly-assigned U.S. Pat. No. 5,028,739 and in U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,857,668; 5,054,635; 5,105,056; and 5,202,536.

Many electronic devices, including PC's and communication equipment, must not only comply with certain FCC requirements, but also must meet be approved under certain Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) standards for flame retardancy. In this regard, if each of the individual components within an electronic device is UL approved, then the device itself does not require separate approval. Ensuring UL approval for each component therefore reduces the cost of compliance for the manufacturer, and ultimately may result in cheaper goods for the consumer. For EMI shielding gaskets, however, such gaskets must be made flame retardant, i.e., achieving a rating of V-0 under UL Std. No. 94, "Tests for Flammability of Plastic Materials for Parts in Devices and Appliances" (1991), without compromising the electrical conductivity necessary for meeting EMI shielding requirements.

In this regard, and particularly with respect to EMI shielding gaskets of the above-described fabric over foam variety, it has long been recognized that foamed polymeric materials are flammable and, in certain circumstances, may

present a fire hazard. Owing to their cellular structure, high organic content, and surface area, most foam materials are subject to relatively rapid decomposition upon exposure to fire or high temperatures.

One approach for imparting flame retardancy to fabric over foam gaskets has been to employ the sheathing as a flame resistant protective layer for the foam. Indeed, V-0 rating compliance purportedly has been achieved by sheathing the foam within an electrically-conductive Ni/Cu-plated fabric to which a thermoplastic sheet is hot nipped or otherwise fusion bonding to the underside thereof. Such fabrics, which may be further described in one or more of U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,489,126; 4,531,994; 4,608,104; and/or 4,621,013, have been marketed by Monsanto Co., St. Louis, under the tradename "Flectron® Ni/Cu Polyester Taffeta V0."

Other fabric over foam gaskets, as is detailed in U.S. Pat. No. 4,857,668, incorporate a supplemental layer or coating applied to the interior surface of the sheath. Such coating may be a flame-retardant urethane formulation which also promotes the adhesion of the sheath to the foam. The coating additionally may function to reduce bleeding of the foam through the fabric which otherwise could compromise the electrical conductivity of the sheath.

In view of the foregoing, it will be appreciated that further improvements in the design of flame retardant, fabric-over foam EMI shielding gaskets, as well as sheathing materials therefore, would be well-received by the electronics industry. Especially desired would be a flame retardant gasket construction which achieves a UL94 rating of V-0.

BROAD STATEMENT OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is directed to an electrically-conductive, flame retardant material for use in fabric-over-foam EMI shielding gaskets, and to a method of manufacturing the same. In having a layer of a flame retardant coating applied to one side of an electrically-conductive, generally porous fabric, the material of the invention affords UL94 V-0 protection when used as a jacketing in a fabric-over-foam gasket construction. Advantageously, as the flame retardant layer may be wet coated on the fabric without appreciable bleed through, a relatively thin, i.e., 2–4 mil (0.05–0.10 mm), coating layer may be provided on one fabric side without compromising the electrical surface conductivity of the other side. Such a thin coating layer, while being sufficient to provide UL94 V-0 protection, nonetheless maintains the drapability the fabric and thereby facilitates the construction UL94 V-0 compliant gaskets having complex profiles or narrow cross-sections down to about 1 mm.

In a preferred embodiment, the electrically-conductive, flame retardant EMI shielding material of the invention includes a nickel or silver-plated, woven nylon, polyester, or like fabric on one side of which is wet coated a layer of a flame retardant, acrylic latex emulsion or other fluent resin composition. In accordance with the precepts of the method of the invention, the viscosity and hydrodynamic pressure of the emulsion are controlled such that the coating does not penetrate or otherwise "bleed through" the uncoated side of the fabric. The surface conductivity of the opposite side of the fabric therefore is not compromised in EMI shielding applications.

The material of the invention may be employed as a jacket in fabric-over-foam EMI shielding gasket constructions, and is particularly adapted for use in the continuous molding process for such gaskets. As used within such process, the fabric may be wrapped around the foam as a jacket with coated side thereof being disposed as an interior surface adjacent the foam, and the uncoated side being disposed as an electrically-conductive exterior surface. Advantageously, the coating on the interior surface of the jacket blocks the pores of the fabric to retain the foam therein without penetrate or bleed through to the exterior surface. In being formed of a acrylic material, the coated interior surface of the jacket may function, moreover, depending upon the composition of the foam, as a compatibilizing or "tie" interlayer which promotes the bonding of the foam to the fabric.

The present invention, accordingly, comprises material and method possessing the construction, combination of elements, and arrangement of parts and steps which are exemplified in the detailed disclosure to follow. Advantages of the present invention include a flame retardant yet drapable EMI shielding fabric. Additional advantages include an economical, flame retardant EMI shielding fabric construction wherein a relatively thin layer of a flame retardant coating may be wet coated onto one side of an electrically-conductive, woven or other generally porous EMI shielding fabric without compromising the conductivity of the other side of the fabric. These and other advantages will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art based upon the disclosure contained herein.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

For a fuller understanding of the nature and objects of the invention, reference should be had to the following detailed description taken in connection with the accompanying drawings wherein:

FIG. **1** is a perspective view of one embodiment of an EMI shielding material according to the present invention which material includes a generally planar fabric member on one side of which is coated a layer of a flame retardant composition, the view being shown with portions being broken away to better reveal the structure of the material;

FIG. **2** is an enlarged cross-sectional view of the EMI shielding material of FIG. **1** taken through plane represented by line **2**—**2** of FIG. **1**;

FIG. **3** is a top view of the material of FIG. **1** which is magnified to reveal the structure of the fabric member thereof;

FIG. **4** is a perspective cross-sectional view of a length of a representative EMI shielding gasket construction according to the present invention including a jacket which is formed of the EMI shielding material of FIG. **1**;

FIG. **5** is an end view of the gasket of FIG. **4** which is magnified to reveal the structure thereof, and

FIG. **6** is a schematic, partially cross-sectional view of an illustrative gravity-fed, knife over roll coater as adapted for use in the manufacture of the EMI shielding material of FIG. **1**.

The drawings will be described further in connection with the following Detailed Description of the Invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Certain terminology may be employed in the description to follow for convenience rather than for any limiting purpose. For example, the terms "upper" and "lower" designate directions in the drawings to which reference is made, with the terms "inner" or "interior" and "outer" or "exterior" referring, respectively, to directions toward and away from

the center of the referenced element, and the terms "radial" and "axial" referring, respectively, to directions perpendicular and parallel to the longitudinal central axis of the referenced element. Terminology of similar import other than the words specifically mentioned above likewise is to be considered as being used for purposes of convenience rather than in any limiting sense.

For the illustrative purposes of the discourse to follow, the electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding material herein involved is described in connection with its use as a flame retardant, electrically-conductive jacket for a foam core, EMI shielding gasket as may be adapted to be received within an interface, such as between a door, panel, hatch, cover, or other parting line of an electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding structure. The EMI shielding structure may be the conductive housing of a computer, communications equipment, or other electronic device or equipment which generates EMI radiation or is susceptible to the effects thereof. The gasket may be bonded or fastened to, or press-fit into one of a pair of mating surfaces which define the interface within the housing, and functions between the mating surfaces to seal any interface gaps or other irregularities. That is, while under an applied pressure, the gasket resiliently conforms to any such irregularities both to establish a continuous conductive path across the interface, and to environmentally seal the interior of the housing against the ingress of dust, moisture, or other contaminates. It will be appreciated, however, that aspects of the present invention may find utility in other EMI shielding applications. Use within those such other applications therefore should be considered to be expressly within the scope of the present invention.

Referring then to the figures, wherein corresponding reference characters are used to designate corresponding elements throughout the several views, a flame retardant EMI shielding material according to the present invention is shown generally at **10** in FIG. **1** as generally adapted for use as a jacket within for a foam core gasket construction. For purposes of illustration, material sheet **10** is shown to be of indefinite dimensions which may be cut to size for the particular application envisioned. In basic construction, material **10** includes an upper, generally planar and porous fabric member, **12**, and a lower, flame retardant coating member, **14**.

Fabric member has at least an electrically-conductive first side, **16**, and a conductive or non-conductive second side, **18**, defining a thickness dimension, referenced at "t," in the cross-sectional view of FIG. **2**, which may vary from about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm). By "electrically-conductive," it is meant that the fabric may be rendered conductive, i.e., to a surface resistivity of about 0.1 Ω/sq. or less, by reason of its being constructed of electrically-conductive wire, monofilaments, yarns or other fibers or, alternatively, by reason of a treatment such as a plating or sputtering being applied to non-conductive fibers to provide an electrically-conductive layer thereon. Preferred electrically-conductive fibers include Monel nickel-copper alloy, silver-plated copper, nickel-clad copper, Ferrex® tin-plated copper-clad steel, aluminum, tin-clad copper, phosphor bronze, carbon, graphite, and conductive polymers. Preferred non-conductive fibers include cotton, wool, silk, cellulose, polyester, polyamide, nylon, and polyimide monofilaments or yarns which are rendered electrically conductive with a metal plating of copper, nickel, silver, nickel-plated-silver, aluminum, tin, or an alloy thereof. As is known, the metal plating may applied to individual fiber strands or to the surfaces of the fabric after weaving, knitting, or other fabrication.

While fabrics such as wire meshes, knits, and non-woven cloths and webs may find application, a preferred fabric construction for member **12** is a plain weave nylon or polyester cloth which is made electrically conductive with between about 20–40% by weight based on the total fabric weight, i.e., 0.01–0.10 g/in^2, of a silver, nickel-silver, or silver-nickel over copper plating. As may be seen in the magnified view of FIG. **1** referenced at **20** in FIG. **3**, such cloth is permeable in having a plain, generally square weave pattern with pores or openings, one of which is referenced at **22**, being defined between the fibers which are represented schematically at **24**. Fibers **24** may be yarns, monofilaments or, preferably, bundles of from about 10–20 filaments or threads, each having a diameter of between about 10–50 μm. For example, with fibers **24** each being a bundle of such threads with a thread count of between about 1000–3000 per inch and a weave count of between about 1000–1500 per inch, 1000–2000 openings per inch will be defined with a mean average pore size of between about 0.5–2 mils (12.5–50 μm).

Although a plain, square weave pattern such as a taffeta, tabby, or ripstop is considered preferred, other weaves such as satins, twills, and the like also should be considered within the scope of the invention herein involved. A particularly preferred cloth for fabric member **12** is a 4 mil (0.10 mm) thick, 1.8 oz/yd^2 weight, silver-plated, woven nylon which is marketed commercially under the designation "31EN RIPSTOP" by Swift Textile Metalizing Corp., Bloomfield, Conn. However, depending upon the needs of the specific shielding application, a fabric constructed of a combination or blend of conductive and nonconductive fibers alternatively may be employed. Examples of fabrics woven, braided, or warp knitted from electrically-conductive fibers, or from blends of conductive and non-conductive fibers, are described in Gladfelter, U.S. Pat. No. 4,684,762, and in Buonanno, U.S. Pat. No. 4,857,668.

Returning to FIGS. **1** and **2**, coating member **14** preferably is formed from a curable layer of a fluent, flame retardant resin or other composition which is wet coated onto the second side **18** of fabric member **12**. As is detailed hereinafter, the viscosity and hydrodynamic pressure of the resin composition are controlled in accordance with the precepts of the present invention to delimit the penetration of the resin layer to a depth, referenced at "d" in FIG. **2**, which is less than the thickness dimension t_1 of the fabric member **12**. In this regard, when the layer is cured to form the flame retardant surface coating member **14** on the second side **18** of fabric member **12**, the first side **16** thereof remains electrically-conductive. In a preferred construction, the layer is coated to a wet thickness of about 10 mils (0.25 mm), and then cured to a dried coating or film thickness, referenced at t_2 in FIG. **2**, of between about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm) at a depth d of about 1–2 mils (0.025–0.05 mm). Ultimately, a total material thickness, referenced at "T," of between about 6–7 mils (0.15–0.20 mm) and a dried weight pickup of between about 100–150 g/yd^2 are observed. By "cured" it is meant that the resin is polymerized, cross-linked, further cross-linked or polymerized, vulcanized, hardened, dried, volatilized, or otherwise chemically or physically changed from a liquid or other fluent form into a solid polymeric or elastomeric phase.

The flame retardant composition preferably is formulated as an aqueous emulsion of an acrylic latex emulsion which is adjusted to a total solids of about 60% and a Brookfield viscosity (#5 spindle, 4 speed) of between about 40,000–60,000 cps, at a density of about 10 lbs per gallon (1.8 g/cm^3). Flame retardancy may be imparted by loading the emulsion

with between about 30–50% by weight of one or more conventional flame retardant additives such as aluminum hydrate, antimony trioxide, phosphate esters, or halogenated compounds such as polybrominated diphenyl oxides. A preferred formulation is a mixture of about 25% by weight, based on the total weight of the emulsion, of decambromo-diphenyl oxide and about 15% by weight of one or more antimony compounds. In operation, should the acrylic carrier phase be ignited, the decomposition of the halogenated and metal oxide compounds function to chemically deprive the flame of sufficient oxygen to support combustion. The decomposition of the acrylic phase additionally may lead to the development of a protective, i.e., thermally-insulative or refractory, outer char layer.

A preferred flame retardant, acrylic latex emulsion is marketed commercially by Heveatex Corp., Fall River, Mass., under the designation "4129FR." The viscosity of the emulsion may be adjusted to between about 40,000–60,000 cps using an aqueous acryloid gel or other acrylic thickener. In this regard, the increased viscosity of the emulsion contributes to delimiting the penetration of the coating layer into the fabric member. However, as this relatively high viscosity may lead to undesirable porosity in the dried film, the emulsion additionally may be modified to reduce air entrapment and bubble formation in the coating layer with up to about 1% by weight of one or more commercial surfactants such as "Bubble Breaker" by Witco Chemical Corp. (Chicago, Ill.) and "Foam Master Antifoam" by Diamond Shamrock, Inc. (San Antonio, Tex.).

As aforementioned, EMI shielding material **10** of the present invention is particularly adapted for use as a flame retardant, electrically-conductive jacket which is provided over a foam core in an EMI shielding gasket construction such as gasket **50** of FIG. **4**. In a representative embodiment, gasket **50** includes an elongate, resilient foam core member, **52**, which may be of an indefinite length. Core member **52** has an outer circumferential surface, **54**, defining the cross-sectional profile of gasket **50** which, for illustrative purposes, is of a generally polygonal, i.e., square or rectangular geometry. Other plane profiles, such as circular, semi-circular, or elliptical, or complex profiles may be substituted, however, depending upon the geometry of the interface to be sealed. Core member **12** may be of any radial or diametric extent, but for most applications will have a diametric extent or width of from about 0.25 inch (0.64 cm) to 1 inch (2.54 cm).

For affording gap-filling capabilities, it is preferred that core member **52** is provided to be complaint over a wide range of temperatures, and to exhibit good compression-relaxation hysteresis even after repeated cyclings or long compressive dwells. Core member **52** therefore may be formed of a foamed elastomeric thermoplastic such as a polyethylene, polypropylene, polypropylene-EPDM blend, butadiene, styrene-butadiene, nitrile, chlorosulfonate, or a foamed neoprene, urethane, or silicone. Preferred materials of construction include open or closed cell urethanes or blends such as a polyolefin resin/monoolefin copolymer blend, or a neoprene, silicone, or nitrile sponge rubber.

Core member **52** may be provided as an extruded or molded foam profile over which shielding material **10** is wrapped as a sheathed, with the edges of sheathed being overlapped as at **56**. In a preferred construction, shielding material **10** is bonded to the core member **52** in a continuous molding process wherein the foam is blown or expanded within the shielding material. As may be seen best with reference to the magnified view of FIG. **4** referenced at **60** in FIG. **5**, in such construction coating member **14** is

disposed adjacent core member **52** as an interior surface, **62**, of shielding member **10**, with the uncoated side **16** of fabric member **12** being oppositely disposed as an electrically-conductive exterior surface, **64**, of the gasket **50**. It will be appreciated that the coated interior surface **62** blocks the pores **22** (FIG. **3**) of the fabric member **12** of the fabric to retain the blown foam therein without penetrate or bleed through to the exterior gasket surface **64**. Depending upon the respective compositions of the foam and coating, the interior surface **62** may function, moreover, as a compatibilizing or "tie" interlayer which promotes the bonding of the foam to the fabric. Gasket construction **50** advantageously provides a structure that may be used in very low closure force, i.e. less than about 1 lb/inch (0.175 N/mm), applications.

Referring again to FIG. **4**, an adhesive layer, **70**, may be applied along the lengthwise extent of gasket **50** to the underside of exterior surface **64** for the attachment of the gasket to a substrate. Such layer **70** preferably is formulated to be of a pressure sensitive adhesive (PSA) variety. As is described in U.S. Pat. No. 4,988,550, suitable PSA's for EMI shielding applications include formulations based on silicones, neoprene, styrene butadiene copolymers, acrylics, acrylates, polyvinyl ethers, polyvinyl acetate copolymers, polyisobutylenes, and mixtures, blends, and copolymers thereof. Acrylic-based formulations, however, generally are considered to be preferred for the EMI applications of the type herein involved. Although PSA's are preferred for adhesive layer **70**, other adhesives such as epoxies and urethanes may be substituted and, accordingly, are to be considered within the scope of the present invention. Heat-fusible adhesives such a hot-melts and thermoplastic films additionally may find applicability.

Inasmuch as the bulk conductivity of gasket **50** is determined substantially through its surface contact with the substrate, an electrically-conductive PSA may be preferred to ensure optimal EMI shielding performance. Such adhesives conventionally are formulated as containing about 1–25% by weight of a conductive filler to yield a volume resistivity of from about 0.01–0.001 Ω-cm. The filler may be incorporated in the form of particles, fibers, flakes, microspheres, or microballoons, and may range in size of from about 1–100 microns. Typically filler materials include inherently conductive material such as metals, carbon, and graphite, or nonconductive materials such as plastic or glass having a plating of a conductive material such as a noble metal or the like. In this regard, the means by which the adhesive is rendered electrically conductive is not considered to be a critical aspect of the present invention, such that any means achieving the desired conductivity and adhesion are to be considered suitable.

For protecting the outer portion of adhesive layer **70** which is exposed on the exterior surface of the gasket, a release sheets, shown at **72**, may be provided as removably attached to the exposed adhesive. As is common in the adhesive art, release sheet **72** may be provided as strip of a waxed, siliconized, or other coated paper or plastic sheet or the like having a relatively low surface energy so as to be removable without appreciable lifting of the adhesive from the exterior surface **64**.

In the production of commercial quantities of the EMI shielding material **10** of the present invention, the viscosity adjusted and otherwise modified acrylic latex emulsion or other resin composition may be coated and cured on one side the fabric member **12** by a direct wet process such as knife over roll or slot die. With whatever process is employed, the hydrodynamic pressure of the resin composition is con-

trolled in accordance with the precepts of the present invention to delimit the penetration of the resin layer to a depth which is less than the thickness dimension of the fabric member. For example, and with reference to FIG. **6** wherein the head of a representative gravity-fed knife over roll coater is shown somewhat schematically at **100**, porous, i.e., permeable, fabric member **12** is conveyed from a feed roll or the like (not shown) over a nip roller, **102**, which rotates in the direction referenced by arrow **104**. With the first side **16** of fabric member **12** supported on roller **102**, the fabric second side **18** is passed beneath the opening, referenced at **106**, of a coating trough, **108**. Trough **108** is defined by a front plate, **110**, a back plate, **112**, and a pair of side plates (not shown).

The emulsion or other fluent resin composition, referenced at **114**, is pumped or otherwise transported into trough **108** which is filled to a fluid level, referenced at h. For a given fluid density, this level h is controlled such that the hydrodynamic pressure at the fabric-liquid interface is maintained within preset limits. For example, with a fluid density of about 10 pounds per gallon (1.8 g/cm^3), and a fabric having a porosity of about 1000–2000 openings per inch with a mean average pore size of between about 0.5–2 mils (12.5–50 μm), the fluid level H is controlled at about 4 inches (10 cm) to yield a hydrodynamic pressure of about 0.05 psi (0.35 kPa) at the fabric-liquid interface. For other coating processes, the hydrodynamic fluid pressure may be controlled, for example, by a pumping pressure or the like.

In the illustrative knife-over-roll coating process, the lower edge, **120**, of front plate **110** defines a knife surface which is shimmed or otherwise spaced-apart a predetermined distance from the second side **18** of fabric member **12**. Such spacing provides a clearance or gap, referenced at "g," of typically about 10 mils (0.25 mm), but which is adjustable to regulate the thickness of the liquid coating layer, **122**, being applied to the fabric member. From roller **104**, the coated fabric member **12** may be conveyed via a take-up roller arrangement (not shown) through a in-line oven or the like to dry or flash the water or other diluent in the liquid coating layer **122**, or to otherwise cure the liquid coating layer **122** in developing an adherent, tack-free, film or other layer of coating member **14** (FIG. **1**) on the single side **18** of fabric member **12**.

The Example to follow, wherein all percentages and proportions are by weight unless otherwise expressly indicated, is illustrative of the practicing of the invention herein involved, but should not be construed in any limiting sense.

EXAMPLE

Representative EMI shielding materials according to the present invention were constructed for characterization. In this regard, a master batch of a flame retardant coating composition was compounded using an acrylic latex emulsion (Heveatex "4129FR"). The viscosity of the emulsion was adjusted to a Brookfield viscosity (#4 spindle, 40 speed) of about 60,000 cps with about 5 wt % of an acryloid thickener (Acrysol™ GS, Monsanto Co., St. Louis, Mo.). The modified emulsion had a total solids content of about 60% by weight, a density of about 10 pounds per gallon (1.8 g/cm^3), and a pH of between about 7.5 and 9.5.

The emulsion was applied using a knife over roll coater (JETZONE Model 7319, Wolverine Corp., Merrimac, Mass.) to one side of a silver-plated nylon fabric (Swift "31EN RIPSTOP") having a thickness of about 4 mils (0.1 mm). With the fluid level in the coating trough of the coater maintained at about 4 inch (10 cm), the emulsion was delivered to the surface of the cloth at a hydrodynamic pressure of about 0.05 psi (0.35 kPa). The coating knife was shimmed to a 10 mil (0.25 mm) gap above the fabric to yield a wet coating draw down thickness of about 10 mils. Following an oven curing at 100–125° C. for 5 minutes, a dried coating or film thickness of about 2.5 mils (0.635 mm) was obtained with a weight pickup of about 130–145 g/yd^2 and a total material thickness of between about 6–7 mils (0.15–0.18 mm). An inspection of the coated fabric cloth revealed a coating penetration depth of about 1–2 mils (0.02–0.05 mm) providing acceptable mechanical retention and/or adhesion of the coating onto the fabric surface. The opposite side of the fabric, however, was observed to be substantially coating free, and to retain a surface resistivity of about 0.1 Ω/sq for unaffected EMI shielding effectiveness.

Fabric samples similarly coated in the manner described were subjected to an in-house vertical flame test. No burning was observed at dried film thickness of 2, 3, or 4 mils (0.05, 0.08, 0.10 mm). Accordingly, a reasonable operating window of film thickness was suggested for production runs.

Samples also were provided, as jacketed over a polyurethane foam core in an EMI shielding gasket construction, for flame testing by Underwriters Laboratories, Inc., Melville, N.Y. A flame class rating of V-0 under UL94 was assigned at a minimum thickness of 1.0 mm. The gasket construction therefore was found to be compliant with the applicable UL requirements, and was approved to bear the "UL" certification mark.

The foregoing results confirm that, the EMI shielding material of the present invention affords UL94 V-0 protection when used as a jacketing in a fabric-over-foam gasket construction. Unexpectedly, it was found that a relatively porous or permeable fabric may be wet coated on one side with a relatively thin, i.e., 2–4 mil (0.05–0.10 mm), coating layer of a flame retardant composition without compromising the electrical surface conductivity of the other side. Such a thin coating layer, while being sufficient to provide UL94 V-0 protection in a conventional fabric-over-foam gasket construction, nonetheless maintains the drapability the fabric and thereby facilitates the fabrication of UL94 V-0 compliant gaskets having complex profiles or narrow cross-sections down to about 1 mm.

As it is anticipated that certain changes may be made in the present invention without departing from the precepts herein involved, it is intended that all matter contained in the foregoing description shall be interpreted as illustrative and not in a limiting sense. All references cited herein are expressly incorporated by reference.

What is claimed is:

1. A flame retardant, electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding gasket comprising:

a resilient core member extending lengthwise along a central longitudinal axis and having an outer surface extending circumferentially about said longitudinal axis, said core member being formed of a foamed elastomeric material;

an electrically-conductive fabric member surrounding the outer surface of said core member, said fabric member having an interior surface disposed facing the outer surface of said core member and an oppositely-facing, exterior surface, at least the exterior surface being electrically-conductive and the exterior surface defining with the interior surface a thickness dimension of the fabric member therebetween; and

a flame retardant layer coating at least a portion of the interior surface of said fabric member, said flame retardant layer comprising at least about 30% by weight of one or more flame retardant additives and penetrating into said fabric member to a depth which is less than the thickness dimension of said fabric member such that the exterior surface of said fabric member remains electrically-conductive.

2. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said flame retardant layer has a thickness of between about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm).

3. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said flame retardant layer is formed as a cured film of a flame retardant acrylic latex emulsion.

4. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said fabric member is a metal-plated cloth.

5. The gasket of claim **4** wherein said cloth comprises fibers selected from the group consisting of cotton, wool, silk, cellulose, polyester, polyamide, nylon, and combinations thereof, and said metal is selected from the group consisting of copper, nickel, silver, nickel-plated-silver, aluminum, tin, and combinations thereof.

6. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said foamed elastomeric material is selected from the group consisting of polyethylenes, polypropylenes, polypropylene-EPDM blends, butadienes, styrene-butadienes, nitriles, chlorosulfonates, neoprenes, urethanes, silicones, and polyolefin resin/monoolefin copolymer blends, and combinations thereof.

7. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said fabric member has a thickness of between about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm).

8. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said flame retardant layer is effective to afford the gasket a flame class rating of V-0 under Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) Standard No. 94.

9. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said one or more flame retardant additives are selected from the group consisting of aluminum hydrate, antimony trioxide, phosphate esters, and halogenated compounds.

* * * * *

EXHIBIT
D

US006777095B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Bunyan et al.

(10) **Patent No.: US 6,777,095 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent: Aug. 17, 2004**

(54) **FLAME RETARDANT EMI SHIELDING GASKET**

(75) Inventors: **Michael H. Bunyan**, Chelmsford, MA (US); **William I. Flanders**, Merimack, NH (US)

(73) Assignee: **Parker-Hannifin Corporation**, Cleveland, OH (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **10/753,016**

(22) Filed: **Jan. 7, 2004**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2004/0142616 A1 Jul. 22, 2004

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 10/318,609, filed on Dec. 11, 2002, now Pat. No. 6,716,536, which is a continuation of application No. 10/142,803, filed on May 9, 2002, now Pat. No. 6,521,348, which is a continuation of application No. 09/883,785, filed on Jun. 18, 2001, now Pat. No. 6,387,523, which is a continuation of application No. 09/250,338, filed on Feb. 16, 1999, now Pat. No. 6,248,393.

(60) Provisional application No. 60/076,370, filed on Feb. 27, 1998.

(51) **Int. Cl.**[7] **B32B 5/14**; B32B 5/18; H05K 9/00

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **428/457**; 361/818

(58) **Field of Search** 428/457; 361/818

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,178,410	A	12/1979	Tomita
4,396,661	A	8/1983	George et al.
4,447,484	A	5/1984	Slosberg et al.
4,489,126	A	12/1984	Holtrop et al.
4,531,994	A	7/1985	Holtrop et al.
4,540,617	A	9/1985	Kawanishi et al.
4,569,304	A	2/1986	Le Maitre
4,572,960	A	2/1986	Ebneth et al.
4,608,104	A	8/1986	Holtrop et al.
4,621,013	A	11/1986	Holtrop et al.
4,666,765	A	5/1987	Caldwell et al.
4,684,762	A	8/1987	Gladfeiter
4,753,840	A	6/1988	Van Gompel
4,797,171	A	1/1989	Van Gompel
4,857,668	A	8/1989	Buonanno
4,871,477	A	10/1989	Dimanshteyn
4,988,550	A	1/1991	Keyser et al.
5,009,927	A	4/1991	Cloyd et al.
5,028,739	A	7/1991	Keyser et al.
5,045,635	A	9/1991	Kaplo et al.

(List continued on next page.)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE	9013936		6/1990
EP	0326441		2/1989
FR	2308030		12/1976
JP	07-176889	*	7/1995

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Dye, James M. Compliance Engineering 17(3), pp 138 and 140–145, 2000.*

Kleiner, J. Vinyl Technol. vol. 4 No. 4, Dec. 1982, pp 157–159.*

(List continued on next page.)

Primary Examiner—Erma Cameron
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—John A. Molnar, Jr.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A flame retardant, electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding gasket construction. The construction includes a resilient core member formed of a foamed elastomeric material, an electrically-conductive fabric member surrounding the outer surface of the core member, and a flame retardant layer coating at least a portion of the interior surface of the fabric member. The flame retardant layer is effective to afford the gasket construction with a flame class rating of V-0 under Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) Standard No. 94.

10 Claims, 3 Drawing Sheets



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,089,325	A	2/1992	Covey
5,105,056	A	4/1992	Hoge, Jr. et al.
5,122,411	A	6/1992	Iwamoto et al.
5,202,536	A	4/1993	Buonanno
5,204,403	A	4/1993	Furuta et al.
5,266,354	A	11/1993	Tohyama et al.
5,612,092	A	3/1997	Strenger et al.
5,614,306	A	3/1997	Jobe et al.
5,635,252	A	6/1997	Fraser, Jr. et al.
5,641,544	A	6/1997	Melancon et al.
5,674,606	A	10/1997	Powers, Jr.
5,700,532	A	12/1997	Chiou

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Underwriters Laboratories, Inc. letter dated Sep. 20, 1995.

Copy of the International Application Published Under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in International Patent Application No. PCT/US00/20609 (WO 01/10182) entitled, "Method and Apparatus for Manufacturing A Plame Retardant EMI Gasket".

Copy of International Search Report for PCT Case No. PCT/US99/04100, Jan. 7, 1999.

Chomerics Parker Hannifin Seals Catalog dated 1997 for Soft–Shield Low Closure force EMI Gaskets.

Monsanto Flectron Metalized Materials dated Sep. 12, 1995.

* cited by examiner



Fig. 1



Fig. 2



Fig. 3



Fig. 4



Fig. 5



Fig. 6

FLAME RETARDANT EMI SHIELDING GASKET

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

This application is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 10/318,609, filed Dec. 11, 2002, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,716,536; which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 10/142,803, filed May 9, 2002, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,521,348; which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 09/883,785, filed Jun. 18, 2001, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,387,523; which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 09/250,338, filed Feb. 16, 1999, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,248,393 and claiming priority to U.S. Provisional application Serial No. 60/076,370, filed Feb. 27, 1998, the disclosure of each of which is expressly incorporated herein by reference.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates broadly to electrically-conductive, flame retardant materials for use in electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding, and to a method of manufacturing the same, and more particularly to an electrically-conductive fabric having a layer of a flame retardant coating applied to one surface thereof for use as a sheathing within an EMI shielding gasket.

The operation of electronic devices including televisions, radios, computers, medical instruments, business machines, communications equipment, and the like is attended by the generation of electromagnetic radiation within the electronic circuitry of the equipment. Such radiation often develops as a field or as transients within the radio frequency band of the electromagnetic spectrum, i.e., between about 10 KHz and 10 GHz, and is termed "electromagnetic interference" or "EMI" as being known to interfere with the operation of other proximate electronic devices.

To attenuate EMI effects, shielding having the capability of absorbing and/or reflecting EMI energy may be employed both to confine the EMI energy within a source device, and to insulate that device or other "target" devices from other source devices. Such shielding is provided as a barrier which is inserted between the source and the other devices, and typically is configured as an electrically conductive and grounded housing which encloses the device. As the circuitry of the device generally must remain accessible for servicing or the like, most housings are provided with openable or removable accesses such as doors, hatches, panels, or covers. Between even the flattest of these accesses and its corresponding mating or faying surface, however, there may be present gaps which reduce the efficiency of the shielding by presenting openings through which radiant energy may leak or otherwise pass into or out of the device. Moreover, such gaps represent discontinuities in the surface and ground conductivity of the housing or other shielding, and may even generate a secondary source of EMI radiation by functioning as a form of slot antenna. In this regard, bulk or surface currents induced within the housing develop voltage gradients across any interface gaps in the shielding, which gaps thereby function as antennas which radiate EMI noise. In general, the amplitude of the noise is proportional to the gap length, with the width of the gap having a less appreciable effect.

For filling gaps within mating surfaces of housings and other EMI shielding structures, gaskets and other seals have been proposed both for maintaining electrical continuity across the structure, and for excluding from the interior of

the device such contaminates as moisture and dust. Such seals are bonded or mechanically attached to, or press-fit into, one of the mating surfaces, and function to close any interface gaps to establish a continuous conductive path thereacross by conforming under an applied pressure to irregularities between the surfaces. Accordingly, seals intended for EMI shielding applications are specified to be of a construction which not only provides electrical surface conductivity even while under compression, but which also has a resiliency allowing the seals to conform to the size of the gap. The seals additionally must be wear resistant, economical to manufacture, and capability of withstanding repeated compression and relaxation cycles. For further information on specifications for EMI shielding gaskets, reference may be had to Severinsen, J., "Gaskets That Block EMI," Machine Design, Vol. 47, No. 19, pp. 74–77 (Aug. 7, 1975).

Requirements for typical EMI shielding applications often dictate a low impedance, low profile gasket which is deflectable under normal closure force loads. Other requirements include low cost and a design which provides an EMI shielding effectiveness for both the proper operation of the device and compliance, in the United States, with commercial Federal Communication Commission (FCC) EMC regulations.

A particularly economical gasket construction, which also requires very low closure forces, i.e. less than about 1 lb/inch (0.175 N/mm), is marketed by the Chomerics Division of Parker-Hannifin Corp., Woburn, Mass. under the tradenarne "Soft-Shield ® 5000 Series." Such construction consists of an electrically-conductive jacket or sheathing which is "cigarette" wrapped lengthwise over a polyurethane or other foam core. As is described further in U.S. Pat. No. 4,871,477, polyurethane foams generally are produced by the reaction of polyisocyanate and a hydroxyl-functional polyol in the presence of a blowing agent. The blowing agent effects the expansion of the polymer structure into a multiplicity of open or closed cells.

The jacket is provided as a highly conductive, i.e., about 1 Ω-sq., nickel-plated-silver, woven rip-stop nylon which is self-terminating when cut. Advantageously, the jacket may be bonded to the core in a continuous molding process wherein the foam is blown or expanded within the jacket as the jacket is wrapped around the expanding foam and the foam and jacket are passed through a die and into a traveling molding. Similar gasket constructions are shown in commonly-assigned U.S. Pat. No. 5,028,739 and in U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,857,668; 5,054,635; 5,105,056; and 5,202,536.

Many electronic devices, including PC's and communication equipment, must not only comply with certain FCC requirements, but also must meet be approved under certain Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) standards for flame retardancy. In this regard, if each of the individual components within an electronic device is UL approved, then the device itself does not require separate approval. Ensuring UL approval for each component therefore reduces the cost of compliance for the manufacturer, and ultimately may result in cheaper goods for the consumer. For EMI shielding gaskets, however, such gaskets must be made flame retardant, i.e., achieving a rating of V-0 under UL Std. No. 94, "Tests for Flammability of Plastic Materials for Parts in Devices and Appliances" (1991), without compromising the electrical conductivity necessary for meeting EMI shielding requirements.

In this regard, and particularly with respect to EMI shielding gaskets of the above-described fabric over foam

variety, it has long been recognized that foamed polymeric materials are flammable and, in certain circumstances, may present a fire hazard. Owing to their cellular structure, high organic content, and surface area, most foam materials are subject to relatively rapid decomposition upon exposure to fire or high temperatures.

One approach for imparting flame retardancy to fabric over foam gaskets has been to employ the sheathing as a flame resistant protective layer for the foam. Indeed, V-0 rating compliance purportedly has been achieved by sheathing the foam within an electrically-conductive Ni/Cu-plated fabric to which a thermoplastic sheet is hot nipped or otherwise fusion bonding to the underside thereof. Such fabrics, which may be further described in one or more of U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,489,126; 4,531,994; 4,608,104; and/or 4,621,013, have been marketed by Monsanto Co., St. Louis, under the tradename “Flectron® Ni/Cu Polyester Taffeta V0.”

Other fabric over foam gaskets, as is detailed in U.S. Pat. No. 4,857,668, incorporate a supplemental layer or coating applied to the interior surface of the sheath. Such coating may be a flame-retardant urethane formulation which also promotes the adhesion of the sheath to the foam. The coating additionally may function to reduce bleeding of the foam through the fabric which otherwise could compromise the electrical conductivity of the sheath.

In view of the foregoing, it will be appreciated that further improvements in the design of flame retardant, fabric-over foam EMI shielding gaskets, as well as sheathing materials therefore, would be well-received by the electronics industry. Especially desired would be a flame retardant gasket construction which achieves a UL94 rating of V-0.

BROAD STATEMENT OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is directed to an electrically-conductive, flame retardant material for use in fabric-over-foam EMI shielding gaskets, and to a method of manufacturing the same. In having a layer of a flame retardant coating applied to one side of an electrically-conductive, generally porous fabric, the material of the invention affords UL94 V-0 protection when used as a jacketing in a fabric-over-foam gasket construction. Advantageously, as the flame retardant layer may be wet coated on the fabric without appreciable bleed through, a relatively thin, i.e., 2–4 mil (0.05–0.10 mm), coating layer may be provided on one fabric side without compromising the electrical surface conductivity of the other side. Such a thin coating layer, while being sufficient to provide UL94 V-0 protection, nonetheless maintains the drapability the fabric and thereby facilitates the construction UL94 V-0 compliant gaskets having complex profiles or narrow cross-sections down to about 1 mm.

In a preferred embodiment, the electrically-conductive, flame retardant EMI shielding material of the invention includes a nickel or silver-plated, woven nylon, polyester, or like fabric on one side of which is wet coated a layer of a flame retardant, acrylic latex emulsion or other fluent resin composition. In accordance with the precepts of the method of the invention, the viscosity and hydrodynamic pressure of the emulsion are controlled such that the coating does not penetrate or otherwise “bleed through” the uncoated side of the fabric. The surface conductivity of the opposite side of the fabric therefore is not compromised in EMI shielding applications.

The material of the invention may be employed as a jacket in fabric-over-foam EMI shielding gasket constructions, and

is particularly adapted for use in the continuous molding process for such gaskets. As used within such process, the fabric may be wrapped around the foam as a jacket with coated side thereof being disposed as an interior surface adjacent the foam, and the uncoated side being disposed as an electrically-conductive exterior surface. Advantageously, the coating on the interior surface of the jacket blocks the pores of the fabric to retain the foam therein without penetrate or bleed through to the exterior surface. In being formed of a acrylic material, the coated interior surface of the jacket may function, moreover, depending upon the composition of the foam, as a compatibilizing or “tie” interlayer which promotes the bonding of the foam to the fabric.

The present invention, accordingly, comprises material and method possessing the construction, combination of elements, and arrangement of parts and steps which are exemplified in the detailed disclosure to follow. Advantages of the present invention include a flame retardant yet drapable EMI shielding fabric. Additional advantages include an economical, flame retardant EMI shielding fabric construction wherein a relatively thin layer of a flame retardant coating may be wet coated onto one side of an electrically-conductive, woven or other generally porous EMI shielding fabric without compromising the conductivity of the other side of the fabric. These and other advantages will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art based upon the disclosure contained herein.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

For a fuller understanding of the nature and objects of the invention, reference should be had to the following detailed description taken in connection with the accompanying drawings wherein:

FIG. **1** is a perspective view of one embodiment of an EMI shielding material according to the present invention which material includes a generally planar fabric member on one side of which is coated a layer of a flame retardant composition, the view being shown with portions being broken away to better reveal the structure of the material;

FIG. **2** is an enlarged cross-sectional view of the EMI shielding material of FIG. **1** taken through plane represented by line **2—2** of FIG. **1**;

FIG. **3** is a top view of the material of FIG. **1** which is magnified to reveal the structure of the fabric member thereof;

FIG. **4** is a perspective cross-sectional view of a length of a representative EMI shielding gasket construction according to the present invention including a jacket which is formed of the EMI shielding material of FIG. **1**;

FIG. **5** is an end view of the gasket of FIG. **4** which is magnified to reveal the structure thereof; and

FIG. **6** is a schematic, partially cross-sectional view of an illustrative gravity-fed, knife over roll coater as adapted for use in the manufacture of the EMI shielding material of FIG. **1**.

The drawings will be described further in connection with the following Detailed Description of the Invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Certain terminology may be employed in the description to follow for convenience rather than for any limiting purpose. For example, the terms “upper” and “lower” designate directions in the drawings to which reference is made,

with the terms "inner" or "interior" and "outer" or "exterior" referring, respectively, to directions toward and away from the center of the referenced element, and the terms "radial" and "axial" referring, respectively, to directions perpendicular and parallel to the longitudinal central axis of the referenced element. Terminology of similar import other than the words specifically mentioned above likewise is to be considered as being used for purposes of convenience rather than in any limiting sense.

For the illustrative purposes of the discourse to follow, the electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding material herein involved is described in connection with its use as a flame retardant, electrically-conductive jacket for a foam core, EMI shielding gasket as may be adapted to be received within an interface, such as between a door, panel, hatch, cover, or other parting line of an electromagnetic interference (EM) shielding structure. The EMI shielding structure may be the conductive housing of a computer, communications equipment, or other electronic device or equipment which generates EMI radiation or is susceptible to the effects thereof. The gasket may be bonded or fastened to, or press-fit into one of a pair of mating surfaces which define the interface within the housing, and functions between the mating surfaces to seal any interface gaps or other irregularities. That is, while under an applied pressure, the gasket resiliently conforms to any such irregularities both to establish a continuous conductive path across the interface, and to environmentally seal the interior of the housing against the ingress of dust, moisture, or other contaminates. It will be appreciated, however, that aspects of the present invention may find utility in other EMI shielding applications. Use within those such other applications therefore should be considered to be expressly within the scope of the present invention.

Referring then to the figures, wherein corresponding reference characters are used to designate corresponding elements throughout the several views, a flame retardant EMI shielding material according to the present invention is shown generally at **10** in FIG. **1** as generally adapted for use as a jacket within for a foam core gasket construction. For purposes of illustration, material sheet **10** is shown to be of indefinite dimensions which may be cut to size for the particular application envisioned. In basic construction, material **10** includes an upper, generally planar and porous fabric member, **12**, and a lower, flame retardant coating member, **14**.

Fabric member has at least an electrically-conductive first side, **16**, and a conductive or non-conductive second side, **18**, defining a thickness dimension, referenced at "t_1" in the cross-sectional view of FIG. **2**, which may vary from about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm). By "electrically-conductive," it is meant that the fabric may be rendered conductive, i.e., to a surface resistivity of about 0.1 Ω/sq. or less, by reason of its being constructed of electrically-conductive wire, monofilaments, yams or other fibers or, alternatively, by reason of a treatment such as a plating or sputtering being applied to non-conductive fibers to provide an electrically-conductive layer thereon. Preferred electrically-conductive fibers include Monel nickel-copper alloy, silver-plated copper, nickel-clad copper, Ferrex® tin-plated copper-clad steel, aluminum, tin-clad copper, phosphor bronze, carbon, graphite, and conductive polymers. Preferred non-conductive fibers include cotton, wool, silk, cellulose, polyester, polyanide, nylon, and polyimide monofilaments or yarns which are rendered electrically conductive with a metal plating of copper, nickel, silver, nickel-plated-silver, aluminum, tin, or an alloy thereof. As is known, the metal plating may applied to individual fiber strands or to the surfaces of the fabric after weaving, knitting, or other fabrication.

While fabrics such as wire meshes, knits, and non-woven cloths and webs may find application, a preferred fabric construction for member **12** is a plain weave nylon or polyester cloth which is made electrically conductive with between about 20–40% by weight based on the total fabric weight, i.e., 0.01–0.10 g/in^2, of a silver, nickel-silver, or silver-nickel over copper plating. As may be seen in the magnified view of FIG. **1** referenced at **20** in FIG. **3**, such cloth is permeable in having a plain, generally square weave pattern with pores or openings, one of which is referenced at **22**, being defined between the fibers which are represented schematically at **24**. Fibers **24** may be yarns, monofilaments or, preferably, bundles of from about 10–20 filaments or threads, each having a diameter of between about 10–50 μm. For example, with fibers **24** each being a bundle of such threads with a thread count of between about 1000–3000 per inch and a weave count of between about 1000–1500 per inch, 1000–2000 openings per inch will be defined with a mean average pore size of between about 0.5–2 mils (12.5–50 μm).

Although a plain, square weave pattern such as a taffeta, tabby, or ripstop is considered preferred, other weaves such as satins, twills, and the like also should be considered within the scope of the invention herein involved. A particularly preferred cloth for fabric member **12** is a 4 mil (0.10 mm) thick, 1.8 oz/yd^2 weight, silver-plated, woven nylon which is marketed commercially under the designation "31EN RIPSTOP" by Swift Textile Metalizing Corp., Bloomfield, Conn. However, depending upon the needs of the specific shielding application, a fabric constructed of a combination or blend of conductive and nonconductive fibers alternatively may be employed. Examples of fabrics woven, braided, or warp knitted from electrically-conductive fibers, or from blends of conductive and non-conductive fibers, are described in Gladfelter, U.S. Pat. No. 4,684,762, and in Buonanno, U.S. Pat. No. 4,857,668.

Returning to FIGS. **1** and **2**, coating member **14** preferably is formed from a curable layer of a fluent, flame retardant resin or other composition which is wet coated onto the second side **18** of fabric member **12**. As is detailed hereinafter, the viscosity and hydrodynamic pressure of the resin composition are controlled in accordance with the precepts of the present invention to delimit the penetration of the resin layer to a depth, referenced at "d" in FIG. **2**, which is less than the thickness dimension t_1 of the fabric member **12**. In this regard, when the layer is cured to form the flame retardant surface coating member **14** on the second side **18** of fabric member **12**, the first side **16** thereof remains electrically-conductive. In a preferred construction, the layer is coated to a wet thickness of about 10 mils (0.25 mm), and then cured to a dried coating or film thickness, referenced at t_2 in FIG. **2**, of between about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm) at a depth d of about 1–2 mils (0.025–0.05 mm). Ultimately, a total material thickness, referenced at "T," of between about 6–7 mils (0.15–0.20 mm) and a dried weight pickup of between about 100–150 g/yd^2 are observed. By "cured" it is meant that the resin is polymerized, cross-linked, further cross-linked or polymerized, vulcanized, hardened, dried, volatilized, or otherwise chemically or physically changed from a liquid or other fluent form into a solid polymeric or elastomeric phase.

The flame retardant composition preferably is formulated as an aqueous emulsion of an acrylic latex emulsion which is adjusted to a total solids of about 60% and a Brookfield

viscosity (#5 spindle, 4 speed) of between about 40,000–60,000 cps, at a density of about 10 lbs per gallon (1.8 g/cm^3). Flame retardancy may be imparted by loading the emulsion with between about 30–50% by weight of one or more conventional flame retardant additives such as aluminum hydrate, antimony trioxide, phosphate esters, or halogenated compounds such as polybrominated diphenyl oxides. A preferred formulation is a mixture of about 25% by weight, based on the total weight of the emulsion, of decambromodiphenyl oxide and about 15% by weight of one or more antimony compounds. In operation, should the acrylic carrier phase be ignited, the decomposition of the halogenated and metal oxide compounds function to chemically deprive the flame of sufficient oxygen to support combustion. The decomposition of the acrylic phase additionally may lead to the development of a protective, i.e., thermally-insulative or refractory, outer char layer.

A preferred flame retardant, acrylic latex emulsion is marketed commercially by Heveatex Corp., Fall River, Mass., under the designation "4129FR." The viscosity of the emulsion may be adjusted to between about 40,000–60,000 cps using an aqueous acryloid get or other acrylic thickener. In this regard, the increased viscosity of the emulsion contributes to delimiting the penetration of the coating layer into the fabric member. However, as this relatively high viscosity may lead to undesirable porosity in the dried film, the emulsion additionally may be modified to reduce air entrapment and bubble formation in the coating layer with up to about 1% by weight of one or more commercial surfactants such as "Bubble Breaker" by Witco Chemical Corp. (Chicago, Ill.) and "Foam Master Antifoam" by Diamond Shamrock, Inc. (San Antonio, Tex.).

As aforementioned, EMI shielding material **10** of the present invention is particularly adapted for use as a flame retardant, electrically-conductive jacket which is provided over a foam core in an EMI shielding gasket construction such as gasket **50** of FIG. **4**. In a representative embodiment, gasket **50** includes an elongate, resilient foam core member, **52**, which may be of an indefinite length. Core member **52** has an outer circumferential surface, **54**, defining the cross-sectional profile of gasket **50** which, for illustrative purposes, is of a generally polygonal, i.e., square or rectangular geometry. Other plane profiles, such as circular, semi-circular, or elliptical, or complex profiles may be substituted, however, depending upon the geometry of the interface to be sealed. Core member **12** may be of any radial or diametric extent, but for most applications will have a diametric extent or width of from about 0.25 inch (0.64 cm) to 1 inch (2.54 cm).

For affording gap-filling capabilities, it is preferred that core member **52** is provided to be complaint over a wide range of temperatures, and to exhibit good compression-relaxation hysteresis even after repeated cyclings or long compressive dwells. Core member **52** therefore may be formed of a foamed elastomeric thermoplastic such as a polyethylene, polypropylene, polypropylene-EPDM blend, butadiene, styrene-butadiene, nitrile, chlorosulfonate, or a foamed neoprene, urethane, or silicone. Preferred materials of construction include open or closed cell urethanes or blends such as a polyolefin resin/monoolefin copolymer blend, or a neoprene, silicone, or nitrile sponge rubber.

Core member **52** may be provided as an extruded or molded foam profile over which shielding material **10** is wrapped as a sheathed, with the edges of sheathed being overlapped as at **56**. In a preferred construction, shielding material **10** is bonded to the core member **52** in a continuous molding process wherein the foam is blown or expanded

within the shielding material. As may be seen best with reference to the magnified view of FIG. **4** referenced at **60** in FIG. **5**, in such construction coating member **14** is disposed adjacent core member **52** as an interior surface, **62**, of shielding member **10**, with the uncoated side **16** of fabric member **12** being oppositely disposed as an electrically-conductive exterior surface, **64**, of the gasket **50**. It will be appreciated that the coated interior surface **62** blocks the pores **22** (FIG. **3**) of the fabric member **12** of the fabric to retain the blown foam therein without penetrate or bleed through to the exterior gasket surface **64**. Depending upon the respective compositions of the foam and coating, the interior surface **62** may function, moreover, as a compatibilizing or "tie" interlayer which promotes the bonding of the foam to the fabric. Gasket construction **50** advantageously provides a structure that may be used in very low closure force, i.e. less than about 1 lb/inch (0.175 N/mm), applications.

Referring again to FIG. **4**, an adhesive layer, **70**, may be applied along the lengthwise extent of gasket **50** to the underside of exterior surface **64** for the attachment of the gasket to a substrate. Such layer **70** preferably is formulated to be of a pressure sensitive adhesive (PSA) variety. As is described in U.S. Pat. No. 4,988,550, suitable PSA's for EMI shielding applications include formulations based on silicones, neoprene, styrene butadiene copolymers, acrylics, acrylates, polyvinyl ethers, polyvinyl acetate copolymers, polyisobutylenes, and mixtures, blends, and copolymers thereof. Acrylic-based formulations, however, generally are considered to be preferred for the EMI applications of the type herein involved. Although PSA's are preferred for adhesive layer **70**, other adhesives such as epoxies and urethanes may be substituted and, accordingly, are to be considered within the scope of the present invention. Heat-fusible adhesives such a hot-melts and thermoplastic films additionally may find applicability.

Inasmuch as the bulk conductivity of gasket **50** is determined substantially through its surface contact with the substrate, an electrically-conductive PSA may be preferred to ensure optimal EMI shielding performance. Such adhesives conventionally are formulated as containing about 1–25% by weight of a conductive filler to yield a volume resistivity of from about 0.01–0.001 Ω-cm. The filler may be incorporated in the form of particles, fibers, flakes, microspheres, or microballoons, and may range in size of from about 1–100 microns. Typically filler materials include inherently conductive material such as metals, carbon, and graphite, or nonconductive materials such as plastic or glass having a plating of a conductive material such as a noble metal or the like. In this regard, the means by which the adhesive is rendered electrically conductive is not considered to be a critical aspect of the present invention, such that any means achieving the desired conductivity and adhesion are to be considered suitable.

For protecting the outer portion of adhesive layer **70** which is exposed on the exterior surface of the gasket, a release sheets, shown at **72**, may be provided as removably attached to the exposed adhesive. As is common in the adhesive art, release sheet **72** may be provided as strip of a waxed, siliconized, or other coated paper or plastic sheet or the like having a relatively low surface energy so as to be removable without appreciable lifting of the adhesive from the exterior surface **64**.

In the production of commercial quantities of the EMI shielding material **10** of the present invention, the viscosity adjusted and otherwise modified acrylic latex emulsion or other resin composition may be coated and cured on one side

the fabric member **12** by a direct wet process such as knife over roll or slot die. With whatever process is employed, the hydrodynamic pressure of the resin composition is controlled in accordance with the precepts of the present invention to delimit the penetration of the resin layer to a depth which is less than the thickness dimension of the fabric member. For example, and with reference to FIG. **6** wherein the head of a representative gravity-fed knife over roll coater is shown somewhat schematically at **100**, porous, i.e., permeable, fabric member **12** is conveyed from a feed roll or the like (not shown) over a nip roller, **102**, which rotates in the direction referenced by arrow **104**. With the first side **16** of fabric member **12** supported on roller **102**, the fabric second side **18** is passed beneath the opening, referenced at **106**, of a coating trough, **108**. Trough **108** is defined by a front plate, **10**, a back plate, **112**, and a pair of side plates (not shown).

The emulsion or other fluent resin composition, referenced at **114**, is pumped or otherwise transported into trough **108** which is filled to a fluid level, referenced at h. For a given fluid density, this level h is controlled such that the hydrodynamic pressure at the fabric-liquid interface is maintained within preset limits. For example, with a fluid density of about 10 pounds per gallon (1.8 g/cm^3), and a fabric having a porosity of about 1000–2000 openings per inch with a mean average pore size of between about 0.5–2 mils (12.5–50 μm), the fluid level H is controlled at about 4 inches (10 cm) to yield a hydrodynamic pressure of about 0.05 psi (0.35 kPa) at the fabric-liquid interface. For other coating processes, the hydrodynamic fluid pressure may be controlled, for example, by a pumping pressure or the like.

In the illustrative knife-over-roll coating process, the lower edge, **120**, of front plate **110** defines a knife surface which is shimmed or otherwise spaced-apart a predetermined distance from the second side **18** of fabric member **12**. Such spacing provides a clearance or gap, referenced at "g," of typically about 10 mils (0.25 mm), but which is adjustable to regulate the thickness of the liquid coating layer, **122**, being applied to the fabric member. From roller **104**, the coated fabric member **12** may be conveyed via a take-up roller arrangement (not shown) through a in-line oven or the like to dry or flash the water or other diluent in the liquid coating layer **122**, or to otherwise cure the liquid coating layer **122** in developing an adherent, tack-free, film or other layer of coating member **14** (FIG. **1**) on the single side **18** of fabric member **12**.

The Example to follow, wherein all percentages and proportions are by weight unless otherwise expressly indicated, is illustrative of the practicing of the invention herein involved, but should not be construed in any limiting sense.

EXAMPLE

Representative EMI shielding materials according to the present invention were constructed for characterization. In this regard, a master batch of a flame retardant coating composition was compounded using an acrylic latex emulsion (Heveatex "4129FR"). The viscosity of the emulsion was adjusted to a Brookfield viscosity (#4 spindle, 40 speed) of about 60,000 cps with about 5wt % of an acryloid thickener (Acrysol™GS, Monsanto Colo., St. Louis, Mo.). The modified emulsion had a total solids content of about 60% by weight, a density of about 10 pounds per gallon (1.8 g/lcm^3), and a pH of between about 7.5 and 9.5.

The emulsion was applied using a knife over roll coater (JETZONE Model 7319, Wolverine Corp., Merrimac,

Mass.) to one side of a silver-plated nylon fabric (Swift "31EN RIPSTOP") having a thickness of about 4 mils (0.1 mm). With the fluid level in the coating trough of the coater maintained at about 4 inch (10 cm), the emulsion was delivered to the surface of the cloth at a hydrodynamic pressure of about 0.05 psi (0.35 kPa). The coating knife was shimmed to a 10 mil (0.25 mm) gap above the fabric to yield a wet coating draw down thickness of about 10 mils. Following an oven curing at 100–125° C. for 5 minutes, a dried coating or film thickness of about 2.5 mils (0.635 mm) was obtained with a weight pickup of about 130–145 g/yd^2 and a total material thickness of between about 6–7 mils (0.15–0.18 mm). An inspection of the coated fabric cloth revealed a coating penetration depth of about 1–2 mils (0.02–0.05 mm) providing acceptable mechanical retention and/or adhesion of the coating onto the fabric surface. The opposite side of the fabric, however, was observed to be substantially coating free, and to retain a surface resistivity of about 0.1 Ω/sq for unaffected EMI shielding effectiveness.

Fabric samples similarly coated in the manner described were subjected to an in-house vertical flame test. No burning was observed at dried film thickness of 2, 3, or 4 mils (0.05, 0.08, 0.10 mm). Accordingly, a reasonable operating window of film thickness was suggested for production runs.

Samples also were provided, as jacketed over a polyurethane foam core in an EMI shielding gasket construction, for flame testing by Underwriters Laboratories, Inc., Melville, N.Y. A flame class rating of V-0 under UL94 was assigned at a minimum thickness of 1.0 mm. The gasket construction therefore was found to be compliant with the applicable UL requirements, and was approved to bear the "UL" certification mark.

The foregoing results confirm that the EMI shielding material of the present invention affords UL94 V-0 protection when used as a jacketing in a fabric-over-foam gasket construction. Unexpectedly, it was found that a relatively porous or permeable fabric may be wet coated on one side with a relatively thin, i.e., 2–4 mil (0.05–0.10 mm), coating layer of a flame retardant composition without compromising the electrical surface conductivity of the other side. Such a thin coating layer, while being sufficient to provide UL94 V-0 protection in a conventional fabric-over-foam gasket construction, nonetheless maintains the drapability the fabric and thereby facilitates the fabrication of UL94 V-0 compliant gaskets having complex profiles or narrow cross-sections down to about 1 mm.

As it is anticipated that certain changes may be made in the present invention without departing from the precepts herein involved, it is intended that all matter contained in the foregoing description shall be interpreted as illustrative and not in a limiting sense. All references cited herein are expressly incorporated by reference.

What is claimed is:

1. A flame retardant, electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding gasket comprising:

a resilient core member extending lengthwise along a central longitudinal axis and having an outer surface extending circumferentially about said longitudinal axis, said core member being formed of a foamed elastomeric material;

an electrically-conductive fabric member surrounding the outer surface of said core member, said fabric member having an interior surface disposed facing the outer surface of said core member and an oppositely-facing, exterior surface, at least the exterior surface being

electrically-conductive and the exterior surface defining with the interior surface a thickness dimension of the fabric member therebetween; and

a flame retardant layer coating at least a portion of the interior surface of said fabric member, said flame retardant layer comprising at least about 50% by dry weight of one or more flame retardant additives and penetrating into said fabric member to a depth which is less than the thickness dimension of said fabric member such that the exterior surface of said fabric member remains electrically-conductive.

2. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said flame retardant layer has a thickness of between about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm).

3. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said flame retardant layer is formed as a cured film of a flame retardant acrylic latex emulsion.

4. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said fabric member is a metal-plated cloth.

5. The gasket of claim **4** wherein said cloth comprises fibers selected from the group consisting of cotton, wool, silk, cellulose, polyester, polyamide, nylon, and combinations thereof, and said metal is selected from the group

consisting of copper, nickel, silver, nickel-plated-silver, aluminum, tin, and combinations thereof.

6. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said foamed elastomeric material is selected from the group consisting of polyethylenes, polypropylenes, polypropylene-EPDM blends, butadienes, styrene-butadienes, nitriles, chlorosulfonates, neoprenes, urethanes, silicones, and polyolefin resin/monoolefin copolymer blends, and combinations thereof.

7. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said fabric member has a thickness of between about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm).

8. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said flame retardant layer is effective to afford the gasket a flame class rating of V-0 under Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) Standard No. 94.

9. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said one or more flame retardant additives are selected from the group consisting of aluminum hydrate, antimony trioxide, phosphate esters, and halogenated compounds.

10. The gasket of claim **1** wherein said flame retardant layer comprises between about 50–83% by dry weight of one or said one or more flame retardant additives.

* * * * *

EXHIBIT E

US006248393B1

(12) **United States Patent**
Bunyan et al.

(10) **Patent No.: US 6,248,393 B1**
(45) **Date of Patent: Jun. 19, 2001**

(54) **FLAME RETARDANT EMI SHIELDING MATERIALS AND METHOD OF MANUFACTURE**

(75) Inventors: **Michael H. Bunyan**, Chelmsford, MA (US); **William I. Flanders**, Merimack, NH (US)

(73) Assignee: **Parker-Hannifin Corporation**, Cleveland, OH (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **09/250,338**

(22) Filed: **Feb. 16, 1999**

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Provisional application No. 60/076,370, filed on Feb. 27, 1998.

(51) **Int. Cl.**[7] **B05D 5/12**

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **427/77**; 427/385.5; 427/389.9

(58) **Field of Search** 427/77, 385.5, 427/389.9

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,178,410	12/1979	Tomita .
4,396,661	8/1983	George et al. .
4,447,484	5/1984	Slosberg et al. .
4,489,126	12/1984	Holtrop et al. .
4,531,994	7/1985	Holtrop et al. .
4,540,617	9/1985	Kawanishi et al. .
4,569,304	2/1986	Le Maitre .
4,572,960	2/1986	Ebneth et al. .
4,608,104	8/1986	Holtrop et al. .
4,621,013	11/1986	Holtrop et al. .
4,666,765	5/1987	Caldwell et al. .
4,684,762	8/1987	Gladfeiter .
4,753,750	6/1988	Van Gompel .
4,797,171	1/1989	Van Gompel .
4,857,668	8/1989	Buonanno .
4,871,477	10/1989	Dimanshteyn .
4,988,550	1/1991	Keyser et al. .
5,009,927	4/1991	Cloyd et al. .
5,028,739	7/1991	Keyser et al. .
5,045,635	9/1991	Kaplo et al. .

(List continued on next page.)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

9013936	12/1990	(DE) .
0326441	8/1989	(EP) .
2308030	11/1976	(FR) .
7-176889 *	7/1995	(JP) .

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Kleiner, J. Vinyl Technol. vol. 4 No. 4, Dec. 1982, pp 157–159.*

Copy of International Serch Report for PCT Case No. PCT/US99/04100, Jan. 7, 1999.

Chomerics Parker Hannifin Seals Catalog dated 1997 for Soft–Shield Low Closure Force EMI Gaskets.

Monsanto Flectron Metalized Materials dated Sep. 12, 1995.

Primary Examiner—Erma Cameron
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—John A. Molnar, Jr.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

An flame retardant, electrically-conductive EMI shielding material and method, the material being particularly adapted for use in fabric-over-foam EMI shielding gasket constructions. In construction, a generally planar, porous fabric member is provided as having at least an electrically-conductive first side and a second side defining a thickness dimension therebetween. A curable layer of a fluent, flame retardant composition is applied under a predetermined hydrodynamic pressure and viscosity to at least a portion of the second side of the fabric member. The hydrodynamic pressure and viscosity of the composition are controlled to delimit the penetration of the layer into the fabric member to a depth which is less than the thickness dimension of said fabric member. The layer then is cured to form a flame retardant surface coating on the second side of the fabric member such that the first side of said fabric member remains electrically-conductive.

9 Claims, 3 Drawing Sheets



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,089,325	2/1992	Covey .
5,105,056	4/1992	Hoge, Jr. et al. .
5,122,411	6/1992	Iwamoto et al. .
5,202,536	4/1993	Buonanno .
5,204,403	4/1993	Furuta et al. .
5,226,354	7/1993	Tohyama et al. .
5,612,092	3/1997	Strenger et al. .
5,614,306	3/1997	Jobe et al. .
5,635,252	6/1997	Fraser, Jr. et al. .
5,641,544	6/1997	Melancon et al. .
5,647,606	7/1997	Powers, Jr. .
5,700,532	12/1997	Chiou .

* cited by examiner



Fig. 1



Fig. 2



Fig. 3



Fig. 4



Fig. 5



Fig. 6

FLAME RETARDANT EMI SHIELDING MATERIALS AND METHOD OF MANUFACTURE

RELATED CASES

The present application claims priority to U.S. Provisional application Ser. No. 60/076,370; filed Feb. 27, 1998.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates broadly to electrically-conductive, flame retardant materials for use in electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding, and to a method of manufacturing the same, and more particularly to an electrically-conductive fabric having a layer of a flame retardant coating applied to one surface thereof for use as a sheathing within an EMI shielding gasket.

The operation of electronic devices including televisions, radios, computers, medical instruments, business machines, communications equipment, and the like is attended by the generation of electromagnetic radiation within the electronic circuitry of the equipment. Such radiation often develops as a field or as transients within the radio frequency band of the electromagnetic spectrum, i.e., between about 10 KHz and 10 GHz, and is termed "electromagnetic interference" or "EMI" as being known to interfere with the operation of other proximate electronic devices.

To attenuate EMI effects, shielding having the capability of absorbing and/or reflecting EMI energy may be employed both to confine the EMI energy within a source device, and to insulate that device or other "target" devices from other source devices. Such shielding is provided as a barrier which is inserted between the source and the other devices, and typically is configured as an electrically conductive and grounded housing which encloses the device. As the circuitry of the device generally must remain accessible for servicing or the like, most housings are provided with openable or removable accesses such as doors, hatches, panels, or covers. Between even the flattest of these accesses and its corresponding mating or faying surface, however, there may be present gaps which reduce the efficiency of the shielding by presenting openings through which radiant energy may leak or otherwise pass into or out of the device. Moreover, such gaps represent discontinuities in the surface and ground conductivity of the housing or other shielding, and may even generate a secondary source of EMI radiation by functioning as a form of slot antenna. In this regard, bulk or surface currents induced within the housing develop voltage gradients across any interface gaps in the shielding, which gaps thereby function as antennas which radiate EMI noise. In general, the amplitude of the noise is proportional to the gap length, with the width of the gap having a less appreciable effect.

For filling gaps within mating surfaces of housings and other EMI shielding structures, gaskets and other seals have been proposed both for maintaining electrical continuity across the structure, and for excluding from the interior of the device such contaminates as moisture and dust. Such seals are bonded or mechanically attached to, or press-fit into, one of the mating surfaces, and function to close any interface gaps to establish a continuous conductive path thereacross by conforming under an applied pressure to irregularities between the surfaces. Accordingly, seals intended for EMI shielding applications are specified to be of a construction which not only provides electrical surface conductivity even while under compression, but which also has a resiliency allowing the seals to conform to the size of

the gap. The seals additionally must be wear resistant, economical to manufacture, and capability of withstanding repeated compression and relaxation cycles. For further information on specifications for EMI shielding gaskets, reference may be had to Severinsen, J., "Gaskets That Block EMI," Machine Design, Vol. 47, No. 19, pp. 74–77 (Aug. 7, 1975).

Requirements for typical EMI shielding applications often dictate a low impedance, low profile gasket which is deflectable under normal closure force loads. Other requirements include low cost and a design which provides an EMI shielding effectiveness for both the proper operation of the device and compliance, in the United States, with commercial Federal Communication Commission (FCC) EMC regulations.

A particularly economical gasket construction, which also requires very low closure forces, i.e. less than about 1 lb/inch (0.175 N/mm), is marketed by the Chomerics Division of Parker-Hannifin Corp., Woburn, Mass. under the tradename "Soft-Shield® 5000 Series." Such construction consists of an electrically-conductive jacket or sheathing which is "cigarette" wrapped lengthwise over a polyurethane or other foam core. As is described further in U.S. Pat. No. 4,871,477, polyurethane foams generally are produced by the reaction of polyisocyanate and a hydroxyl-functional polyol in the presence of a blowing agent. The blowing agent effects the expansion of the polymer structure into a multiplicity of open or closed cells.

The jacket is provided as a highly conductive, i.e., about 1 Ω-sq., nickel-plated-silver, woven rip-stop nylon which is self-terminating when cut. Advantageously, the jacket may be bonded to the core in a continuous molding process wherein the foam is blown or expanded within the jacket as the jacket is wrapped around the expanding foam and the foam and jacket are passed through a die and into a traveling molding. Similar gasket constructions are shown in commonly-assigned U.S. Pat. No. 5,028,739 and in U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,857,668; 5,054,635; 5,105,056; and 5,202,536.

Many electronic devices, including PC's and communication equipment, must not only comply with certain FCC requirements, but also must meet be approved under certain Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) standards for flame retardancy. In this regard, if each of the individual components within an electronic device is UL approved, then the device itself does not require separate approval. Ensuring UL approval for each component therefore reduces the cost of compliance for the manufacturer, and ultimately may result in cheaper goods for the consumer. For EMI shielding gaskets, however, such gaskets must be made flame retardant, i.e., achieving a rating of V-0 under UL Std. No. 94, "Tests for Flammability of Plastic Materials for Parts in Devices and Appliances" (1991), without compromising the electrical conductivity necessary for meeting EMI shielding requirements.

In this regard, and particularly with respect to EMI shielding gaskets of the abovedescribed fabric over foam variety, it has long been recognized that foamed polymeric materials are flammable and, in certain circumstances, may present a fire hazard. Owing to their cellular structure, high organic content, and surface area, most foam materials are subject to relatively rapid decomposition upon exposure to fire or high temperatures.

One approach for imparting flame retardancy to fabric over foam gaskets has been to employ the sheathing as a flame resistant protective layer for the foam. Indeed, V-0 rating compliance purportedly has been achieved by sheath-

ing the foam within an electrically-conductive Ni/Cu-plated fabric to which a thermoplastic sheet is hot nipped or otherwise fusion bonding to the underside thereof. Such fabrics, which may be further described in one or more of U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,489,126; 4,531,994; 4,608,104; and/or 4,621,013, have been marketed by Monsanto Co., St. Louis, under the tradename "Flectron® Ni/Cu Polyester Taffeta V0."

Other fabric over foam gaskets, as is detailed in U.S. Pat. No. 4,857,668, incorporate a supplemental layer or coating applied to the interior surface of the sheath. Such coating may be a flame-retardant urethane formulation which also promotes the adhesion of the sheath to the foam. The coating additionally may function to reduce bleeding of the foam through the fabric which otherwise could compromise the electrical conductivity of the sheath.

In view of the foregoing, it will be appreciated that further improvements in the design of flame retardant, fabric-over foam EMI shielding gaskets, as well as sheathing materials therefore, would be well-received by the electronics industry. Especially desired would be a flame retardant gasket construction which achieves a UL94 rating of V-0.

BROAD STATEMENT OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is directed to an electrically-conductive, flame retardant material for use in fabric-over-foam EMI shielding gaskets, and to a method of manufacturing the same. In having a layer of a flame retardant coating applied to one side of an electrically-conductive, generally porous fabric, the material of the invention affords UL94 V-0 protection when used as a jacketing in a fabric-over-foam gasket construction. Advantageously, as the flame retardant layer may be wet coated on the fabric without appreciable bleed through, a relatively thin, i.e., 2–4 mil (0.05–0.10 mm), coating layer may be provided on one fabric side without compromising the electrical surface conductivity of the other side. Such a thin coating layer, while being sufficient to provide UL94 V-0 protection, nonetheless maintains the drapability the fabric and thereby facilitates the construction UL94 V-0 compliant gaskets having complex profiles or narrow cross-sections down to about 1 mm.

In a preferred embodiment, the electrically-conductive, flame retardant EMI shielding material of the invention includes a nickel or silver-plated, woven nylon, polyester, or like fabric on one side of which is wet coated a layer of a flame retardant, acrylic latex emulsion or other fluent resin composition. In accordance with the precepts of the method of the invention, the viscosity and hydrodynamic pressure of the emulsion are controlled such that the coating does not penetrate or otherwise "bleed through" the uncoated side of the fabric. The surface conductivity of the opposite side of the fabric therefore is not compromised in EMI shielding applications.

The material of the invention may be employed as a jacket in fabric-over-foam EMI shielding gasket constructions, and is particularly adapted for use in the continuous molding process for such gaskets. As used within such process, the fabric may be wrapped around the foam as a jacket with coated side thereof being disposed as an interior surface adjacent the foam, and the uncoated side being disposed as an electrically-conductive exterior surface. Advantageously, the coating on the interior surface of the jacket blocks the pores of the fabric to retain the foam therein without penetrate or bleed through to the exterior surface. In being formed of a acrylic material, the coated interior surface of the jacket may function, moreover, depending upon the composition of the foam, as a compatibilizing or "tie" interlayer which promotes the bonding of the foam to the fabric.

The present invention, accordingly, comprises material and method possessing the construction, combination of elements, and arrangement of parts and steps which are exemplified in the detailed disclosure to follow. Advantages of the present invention include a flame retardant yet drapable EMI shielding fabric. Additional advantages include an economical, flame retardant EMI shielding fabric construction wherein a relatively thin layer of a flame retardant coating may be wet coated onto one side of an electrically-conductive, woven or other generally porous EMI shielding fabric without compromising the conductivity of the other side of the fabric. These and other advantages will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art based upon the disclosure contained herein.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

For a fuller understanding of the nature and objects of the invention, reference should be had to the following detailed description taken in connection with the accompanying drawings wherein:

FIG. **1** is a perspective view of one embodiment of an EMI shielding material according to the present invention which material includes a generally planar fabric member on one side of which is coated a layer of a flame retardant composition, the view being shown with portions being broken away to better reveal the structure of the material;

FIG. **2** is an enlarged cross-sectional view of the EMI shielding material of FIG. **1** taken through plane represented by line **2—2** of FIG. **1**;

FIG. **3** is a top view of the material of FIG. **1** which is magnified to reveal the structure of the fabric member thereof;

FIG. **4** is a perspective cross-sectional view of a length of a representative EMI shielding gasket construction according to the present invention including a jacket which is formed of the EMI shielding material of FIG. **1**;

FIG. **5** is an end view of the gasket of FIG. **4** which is magnified to reveal the structure thereof; and

FIG. **6** is a schematic, partially cross-sectional view of an illustrative gravity-fed, knife over roll coater as adapted for use in the manufacture of the EMI shielding material of FIG. **1**.

The drawings will be described further in connection with the following Detailed Description of the Invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Certain terminology may be employed in the description to follow for convenience rather than for any limiting purpose. For example, the terms "upper" and "lower" designate directions in the drawings to which reference is made, with the terms "inner" or "interior" and "outer" or "exterior" referring, respectively, to directions toward and away from the center of the referenced element, and the terms "radial" and "axial" referring, respectively, to directions perpendicular and parallel to the longitudinal central axis of the referenced element. Terminology of similar import other than the words specifically mentioned above likewise is to be considered as being used for purposes of convenience rather than in any limiting sense.

For the illustrative purposes of the discourse to follow, the electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding material

herein involved is described in connection with its use as a flame retardant, electrically-conductive jacket for a foam core, EMI shielding gasket as may be adapted to be received within an interface, such as between a door, panel, hatch, cover, or other parting line of an electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding structure. The EMI shielding structure may be the conductive housing of a computer, communications equipment, or other electronic device or equipment which generates EMI radiation or is susceptible to the effects thereof. The gasket may be bonded or fastened to, or press-fit into one of a pair of mating surfaces which define the interface within the housing, and functions between the mating surfaces to seal any interface gaps or other irregularities. That is, while under an applied pressure, the gasket resiliently conforms to any such irregularities both to establish a continuous conductive path across the interface, and to environmentally seal the interior of the housing against the ingress of dust, moisture, or other contaminates. It will be appreciated, however, that aspects of the present invention may find utility in other EMI shielding applications. Use within those such other applications therefore should be considered to be expressly within the scope of the present invention.

Referring then to the figures, wherein corresponding reference characters are used to designate corresponding elements throughout the several views, a flame retardant EMI shielding material according to the present invention is shown generally at **10** in FIG. **1** as generally adapted for use as a jacket within for a foam core gasket construction. For purposes of illustration, material sheet **10** is shown to be of indefinite dimensions which may be cut to size for the particular application envisioned. In basic construction, material **10** includes an upper, generally planar and porous fabric member, **12**, and a lower, flame retardant coating member, **14**.

Fabric member has at least an electrically-conductive first side, **16**, and a conductive or non-conductive second side, **18**, defining a thickness dimension, referenced at "t_1" in the cross-sectional view of FIG. **2**, which may vary from about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm). By "electrically-conductive," it is meant that the fabric may be rendered conductive, i.e., to a surface resistivity of about 0.1 Ω/sq. or less, by reason of its being constructed of electrically-conductive wire, monofilaments, yams or other fibers or, alternatively, by reason of a treatment such as a plating or sputtering being applied to non-conductive fibers to provide an electrically-conductive layer thereon. Preferred electrically-conductive fibers include Monel nickel-copper alloy, silver-plated copper, nickel-clad copper, Ferrex® tin-plated copper-clad steel, aluminum, tin-clad copper, phosphor bronze, carbon, graphite, and conductive polymers. Preferred non-conductive fibers include cotton, wool, silk, cellulose, polyester, polyamide, nylon, and polyimide monofilaments or yams which are rendered electrically conductive with a metal plating of copper, nickel, silver, nickel-plated-silver, aluminum, tin, or an alloy thereof. As is known, the metal plating may applied to individual fiber strands or to the surfaces of the fabric after weaving, knitting, or other fabrication.

While fabrics such as wire meshes, knits, and non-woven cloths and webs may find application, a preferred fabric construction for member **12** is a plain weave nylon or polyester cloth which is made electrically conductive with between about 20–40% by weight based on the total fabric weight, i.e., 0.01–0.10 g/in^2, of a silver, nickel-silver, or silver-nickel over copper plating. As may be seen in the magnified view of FIG. **1** referenced at **20** in FIG. **3**, such cloth is permeable in having a plain, generally square weave pattern with pores or openings, one of which is referenced at **22**, being defined between the fibers which are represented schematically at **24**. Fibers **24** may be yarns, monofilaments or, preferably, bundles of from about 10–20 filaments or threads, each having a diameter of between about 10–50 μm. For example, with fibers **24** each being a bundle of such threads with a thread count of between about 1000–3000 per inch and a weave count of between about 1000–1500 per inch, 1000–2000 openings per inch will be defined with a mean average pore size of between about 0.5–2 mils (12.5–50 μm).

Although a plain, square weave pattern such as a taffeta, tabby, or ripstop is considered preferred, other weaves such as satins, twills, and the like also should be considered within the scope of the invention herein involved. A particularly preferred cloth for fabric member **12** is a 4 mil (0.10 mm) thick, 1.8 oz/yd^2 weight, silver-plated, woven nylon which is marketed commercially under the designation "31EN RIPSTOP" by Swift Textile Metalizing Corp., Bloomfield, Conn. However, depending upon the needs of the specific shielding application, a fabric constructed of a combination or blend of conductive and nonconductive fibers alternatively may be employed. Examples of fabrics woven, braided, or warp knitted from electrically-conductive fibers, or from blends of conductive and non-conductive fibers, are described in Gladfelter, U.S. Pat. No. 4,684,762, and in Buonanno, U.S. Pat. No. 4,857,668.

Returning to FIGS. **1** and **2**, coating member **14** preferably is formed from a curable layer of a fluent, flame retardant resin or other composition which is wet coated onto the second side **18** of fabric member **12**. As is detailed hereinafter, the viscosity and hydrodynamic pressure of the resin composition are controlled in accordance with the precepts of the present invention to delimit the penetration of the resin layer to a depth, referenced at "d" in FIG. **2**, which is less than the thickness dimension t_1 of the fabric member **12**. In this regard, when the layer is cured to form the flame retardant surface coating member **14** on the second side **18** of fabric member **12**, the first side **16** thereof remains electrically-conductive. In a preferred construction, the layer is coated to a wet thickness of about 10 mils (0.25 mm), and then cured to a dried coating or film thickness, referenced at t_2 in FIG. **2**, of between about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm) at a depth d of about 1–2 mils (0.025–0.05 mm). Ultimately, a total material thickness, referenced at "T," of between about 6–7 mils (0.15–0.20 mm) and a dried weight pickup of between about 100–150 g/yd^2 are observed. By "cured" it is meant that the resin is polymerized, cross-linked, further cross-linked or polymerized, vulcanized, hardened, dried, volatilized, or otherwise chemically or physically changed from a liquid or other fluent form into a solid polymeric or elastomeric phase.

The flame retardant composition preferably is formulated as an aqueous emulsion of an acrylic latex emulsion which is adjusted to a total solids of about 60% and a Brookfield viscosity (#5 spindle, 4speed) of between about 40,000–60,000 cps, at a density of about 10 lbs per gallon (1.8 g/cm^3). Flame retardancy may be imparted by loading the emulsion with between about 30–50% by weight of one or more conventional flame retardant additives such as aluminum hydrate, antimony trioxide, phosphate esters, or halogenated compounds such as polybrominated diphenyl oxides. A preferred formulation is a mixture of about 25% by weight, based on the total weight of the emulsion, of decambromo-diphenyl oxide and about 15% by weight of one or more antimony compounds. In operation, should the acrylic car-

rier phase be ignited, the decomposition of the halogenated and metal oxide compounds function to chemically deprive the flame of sufficient oxygen to support combustion. The decomposition of the acrylic phase additionally may lead to the development of a protective, i.e., thermally-insulative or refractory, outer char layer.

A preferred flame retardant, acrylic latex emulsion is marketed commercially by Heveatex Corp., Fall River, Mass., under the designation "4129FR." The viscosity of the emulsion may be adjusted to between about 40,000–60,000 cps using an aqueous acryloid gel or other acrylic thickener. In this regard, the increased viscosity of the emulsion contributes to delimiting the penetration of the coating layer into the fabric member. However, as this relatively high viscosity may lead to undesirable porosity in the dried film, the emulsion additionally may be modified to reduce air entrapment and bubble formation in the coating layer with up to about 1% by weight of one or more commercial surfactants such as "Bubble Breaker" by Witco Chemical Corp. (Chicago, Ill.) and "Foam Master Antifoam" by Diamond Shamrock, Inc. (San Antonio, Tex.).

As aforementioned, EMI shielding material **10** of the present invention is particularly adapted for use as a flame retardant, electrically-conductive jacket which is provided over a foam core in an EMI shielding gasket construction such as gasket **50** of FIG. **4**. In a representative embodiment, gasket **50** includes an elongate, resilient foam core member, **52**, which may be of an indefinite length. Core member **52** has an outer circumferential surface, **54**, defining the cross-sectional profile of gasket **50** which, for illustrative purposes, is of a generally polygonal, i.e., square or rectangular geometry. Other plane profiles, such as circular, semicircular, or elliptical, or complex profiles may be substituted, however, depending upon the geometry of the interface to be sealed. Core member **12** may be of any radial or diametric extent, but for most applications will have a diametric extent or width of from about 0.25 inch (0.64 cm) to 1 inch (2.54 cm).

For affording gap-filling capabilities, it is preferred that core member **52** is provided to be complaint over a wide range of temperatures, and to exhibit good compression-relaxation hysteresis even after repeated cyclings or long compressive dwells. Core member **52** therefore may be formed of a foamed elastomeric thermoplastic such as a polyethylene, polypropylene, polypropylene-EPDM blend, butadiene, styrene-butadiene, nitride, chlorosulfonate, or a foamed neoprene, urethane, or silicone. Preferred materials of construction include open or closed cell urethanes or blends such as a polyolefin resin/monoolefm copolymer blend, or a neoprene, silicone, or nitrile sponge rubber.

Core member **52** may be provided as an extruded or molded foam profile over which shielding material **10** is wrapped as a sheathed, with the edges of sheathed being overlapped as at **56**. In a preferred construction, shielding material **10** is bonded to the core member **52** in a continuous molding process wherein the foam is blown or expanded within the shielding material. As may be seen best with reference to the magnified view of FIG. **4** referenced at **60** in FIG. **5**, in such construction coating member **14** is disposed adjacent core member **52** as an interior surface, **62**, of shielding member **10**, with the uncoated side **16** of fabric member **12** being oppositely disposed as an electrically-conductive exterior surface, **64**, of the gasket **50**. It will be appreciated that the coated interior surface **62** blocks the pores **22** (FIG. **3**) of the fabric member **12** of the fabric to retain the blown foam therein without penetrate or bleed through to the exterior gasket surface **64**. Depending upon the respective compositions of the foam and coating, the interior surface **62** may function, moreover, as a compatibilizing or "tie" interlayer which promotes the bonding of the foam to the fabric. Gasket construction **50** advantageously provides a structure that may be used in very low closure force, i.e. less than about 1 lb/inch (0.175 N/mm), applications.

Referring again to FIG. **4**, an adhesive layer, **70**, may be applied along the lengthwise extent of gasket **50** to the underside of exterior surface **64** for the attachment of the gasket to a substrate. Such layer **70** preferably is formulated to be of a pressure sensitive adhesive (PSA) variety. As is described in U.S. Pat. No. 4,988,550, suitable PSA's for EMI shielding applications include formulations based on silicones, neoprene, styrene butadiene copolymers, acrylics, acrylates, polyvinyl ethers, polyvinyl acetate copolyrners, polyisobutylenes, and mixtures, blends, and copolymers thereof. Acrylic-based formulations, however, generally are considered to be preferred for the EMI applications of the type herein involved. Although PSA's are preferred for adhesive layer **70**, other adhesives such as epoxies and urethanes may be substituted and, accordingly, are to be considered within the scope of the present invention. Heat-fusible adhesives such a hotmelts and thermoplastic films additionally may find applicability.

Inasmuch as the bulk conductivity of gasket **50** is determined substantially through its surface contact with the substrate, an electrically-conductive PSA may be preferred to ensure optimal EMI shielding performance. Such adhesives conventionally are formulated as containing about 1–25% by weight of a conductive filler to yield a volume resistivity of from about 0.01–0.001 ?-cm. The filler may be incorporated in the form of particles, fibers, flakes, microspheres, or microballoons, and may range in size of from about 1–100 microns. Typically filler materials include inherently conductive material such as metals, carbon, and graphite, or nonconductive materials such as plastic or glass having a plating of a conductive material such as a noble metal or the like. In this regard, the means by which the adhesive is rendered electrically conductive is not considered to be a critical aspect of the present invention, such that any means achieving the desired conductivity and adhesion are to be considered suitable.

For protecting the outer portion of adhesive layer **70** which is exposed on the exterior surface of the gasket, a release sheets, shown at **72**, may be provided as removably attached to the exposed adhesive. As is common in the adhesive art, release sheet **72** may be provided as strip of a waxed, siliconized, or other coated paper or plastic sheet or the like having a relatively low surface energy so as to be removable without appreciable lifting of the adhesive from the exterior surface **64**.

In the production of commercial quantities of the EMI shielding material **10** of the present invention, the viscosity adjusted and otherwise modified acrylic latex emulsion or other resin composition may be coated and cured on one side the fabric member **12** by a direct wet process such as knife over roll or slot die. With whatever process is employed, the hydrodynamic pressure of the resin composition is controlled in accordance with the precepts of the present invention to delimit the penetration of the resin layer to a depth which is less than the thickness dimension of the fabric member. For example, and with reference to FIG. **6** wherein the head of a representative gravity-fed knife over roll coater is shown somewhat schematically at 100, porous, i.e., permeable, fabric member **12** is conveyed from a feed roll or the like (not shown) over a nip roller, **102**, which rotates

in the direction referenced by arrow **104**. With the first side **16** of fabric member **12** supported on roller **102**, the fabric second side **18** is passed beneath the opening, referenced at **106**, of a coating trough, **108**. Trough **108** is defined by a front plate, **110**, a back plate, **112**, and a pair of side plates (not shown).

The emulsion or other fluent resin composition, referenced at **114**, is pumped or otherwise transported into trough **108** which is filled to a fluid level, referenced at h. For a given fluid density, this level h is controlled such that the hydrodynamic pressure at the fabric-liquid interface is maintained within preset limits. For example, with a fluid density of about 10 pounds per gallon (1.8 g/cm^3), and a fabric having a porosity of about 1000–2000 openings per inch with a mean average pore size of between about 0.5–2 mils (12.5–50 μm), the fluid level H is controlled at about 4 inches (10 cm) to yield a hydrodynamic pressure of about 0.05 psi (0.35 kPa) at the fabric-liquid interface. For other coating processes, the hydrodynamic fluid pressure may be controlled, for example, by a pumping pressure or the like.

In the illustrative knife-over-roll coating process, the lower edge, **120**, of front plate **110** defines a knife surface which is shimmed or otherwise spaced-apart a predetermined distance from the second side **18** of fabric member **12**. Such spacing provides a clearance or gap, referenced at "g," of typically about 10 mils (0.25 mm), but which is adjustable to regulate the thickness of the liquid coating layer, **122**, being applied to the fabric member. From roller **104**, the coated fabric member **12** may be conveyed via a take-up roller arrangement (not shown) through a in-line oven or the like to dry or flash the water or other diluent in the liquid coating layer **122**, or to otherwise cure the liquid coating layer **122** in developing an adherent, tack-free, film or other layer of coating member **14** (FIG. **1**) on the single side **18** of fabric member **12**.

The Example to follow, wherein all percentages and proportions are by weight unless otherwise expressly indicated, is illustrative of the practicing of the invention herein involved, but should not be construed in any limiting sense.

EXAMPLE

Representative EMI shielding materials according to the present invention were constructed for characterization. In this regard, a master batch of a flame retardant coating composition was compounded using an acrylic latex emulsion (Heveatex "4129FR"). The viscosity of the emulsion was adjusted to a Brookfield viscosity (#4 spindle, 40 speed) of about 60,000 cps with about 5 wt % of an acryloid thickener (Acrysol™ GS, Monsanto Co., St. Louis, Mo.). The modified emulsion had a total solids content of about 60% by weight, a density of about 10 pounds per gallon (1.8 g/cm^3), and a pH of between about 7.5 and 9.5.

The emulsion was applied using a knife over roll coater (JETZONE Model 7319, Wolverine Corp., Merrimac, Mass.) to one side of a silver-plated nylon fabric (Swift "31EN RIPSTOP") having a thickness of about 4 mils (0.1 mm). With the fluid level in the coating trough of the coater maintained at about 4 inch (10 cm), the emulsion was delivered to the surface of the cloth at a hydrodynamic pressure of about 0.05 psi (0.35 kPa). The coating knife was shimmed to a 10 mil (0.25 mm) gap above the fabric to yield a wet coating draw down thickness of about 10 mils. Following an oven curing at 100–125° C. for 5 minutes, a dried coating or film thickness of about 2.5 mils (0.635 mm) was obtained with a weight pickup of about 130–145 g/yd^2 and a total material thickness of between about 6–7 mils (0.15–0.18 mm). An inspection of the coated fabric cloth revealed a coating penetration depth of about 1–2 mils (0.02–0.05 mm) providing acceptable mechanical retention and/or adhesion of the coating onto the fabric surface. The opposite side of the fabric, however, was observed to be substantially coating free, and to retain a surface resistivity of about 0.1 Ω/sq for unaffected EMI shielding effectiveness.

Fabric samples similarly coated in the manner described were subjected to an in-house vertical flame test. No burning was observed at dried film thickness of 2, 3, or 4 mils (0.05, 0.08, 0.10 mm). Accordingly, a reasonable operating window of film thickness was suggested for production runs.

Samples also were provided, as jacketed over a polyurethane foam core in an EMI shielding gasket construction, for flame testing by Underwriters Laboratories, Inc., Melville, New York. A flame class rating of V-0 under UL94 was assigned at a minimum thickness of 1.0 mm. The gasket construction therefore was found to be compliant with the applicable UL requirements, and was approved to bear the "UL" certification mark.

The foregoing results confirm that the EMI shielding material of the present invention affords UL94 V-0 protection when used as a jacketing in a fabric-over-foam gasket construction. Unexpectedly, it was found that a relatively porous or permeable fabric may be wet coated on one side with a relatively thin, i.e., 2–4 mil (0.05–0.10 mm), coating layer of a flame retardant composition without compromising the electrical surface conductivity of the other side. Such a thin coating layer, while being sufficient to provide UL94 V-0 protection in a conventional fabric-over-foam gasket construction, nonetheless maintains the drapability the fabric and thereby facilitates the fabrication of UL94 V-0 compliant gaskets having complex profiles or narrow cross-sections down to about 1 mm.

As it is anticipated that certain changes may be made in the present invention without departing from the precepts herein involved, it is intended that all matter contained in the foregoing description shall be interpreted as illustrative and not in a limiting sense. All references cited herein are expressly incorporated by reference.

What is claimed is:

1. A method of making a flame retardant, electrically-conductive EMI shielding material comprising the steps of:

(a) providing a generally planar, porous fabric member having at least an electrically-conductive first side and a second side defining a thickness dimension therebetween;

(b) applying a curable layer of a fluent, flame retardant composition under a hydrodynamic pressure and viscosity to at least a portion of the second side of said fabric member;

(c) controlling said hydrodynamic pressure and viscosity of said composition to delimit the penetration of said layer into said fabric member to a depth which is less than the thickness dimension of said fabric member; and

(d) curing said layer to form a flame retardant coating member on the second side of said fabric member whereby the first side of said fabric member remains electrically-conductive.

2. The method of claim **1** wherein said flame retardant composition of step (b) comprises a flame retardant acrylic latex emulsion.

3. The method of claim **1** wherein the viscosity of said composition is controlled in step (c) to between about 40,000–60,000 centipoise.

4. The method of claim **1** wherein the hydrodynamic pressure of said composition is controlled in step (c) at about 0.05 psi (0.35 kPa).

5. The method of claim **1** wherein said layer is cured in step (d) to a coating thickness of between about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm).

6. The method of claim **1** wherein the thickness dimension of said fabric member of step (a) is between about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm).

7. The method of claim **6** wherein said fabric member is provided in step (a) as a metal-plated, woven cloth having an mean average pore size of between about 0.5–2 mils (12.5–50 μm).

8. The method of claim **7** wherein said cloth is woven of fibers selected front the group consisting of cotton, wool, silk, cellulose, polyester, polyamide, nylon, and combinations thereof, and is plated with a metal selected from the group consisting of copper, nickel, silver, nickel-plated-silver, aluminum, tin, and combinations thereof.

9. The method of claim **8** wherein said fibers have a diameter of between about 10–50 μm.

* * * * *

EXHIBIT 2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

PARKER-HANNIFIN CORPORATION, and)
PARKER INTANGIBLES, LLC,)
)
~~Plaintiff,~~)
Plaintiffs.)
)
v.) C.A. No. 07-cv- 00104-***
)
SEIREN CO., LTD.,)
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
)
Defendant.)

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

~~Plaintiff~~Plaintiffs, PARKER-HANNIFIN CORPORATION and PARKER INTANGIBLES, LLC, as and for ~~its~~their complaint against defendant, SEIREN CO., LTD., ~~alleges~~allege as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff, PARKER-HANNIFIN CORPORATION (hereinafter "PARKER"), is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Ohio, having its principal place of business at 6035 Parkland Blvd., Cleveland, Ohio.

2. Plaintiff PARKER INTANGIBLES, LLC (hereinafter "PI") is a Delaware limited liability company, having a place of business at 6035 Parkland Blvd., Cleveland, Ohio, and a wholly-owned subsidiary of PARKER.

3. Defendant, SEIREN CO., LTD. (hereinafter "SEIREN"), is~~, on information and belief,~~ a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Japan, with its principal place of business at 1-10-1 Keya, Fukui City, Fukui, Japan.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

~~3.~~4. The jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

~~4.~~5. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), and 1400(b).

THE PATENTS

~~5.~~6. On May 14, 2002, United States Letters Patent No. 6,387,523 (hereinafter the "'523 patent") (attached hereto as Exhibit "A") was duly and legally issued. The '523 patent is owned by ~~Parker Intangibles LLC, a Delaware limited liability company which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Parker. Parker~~PI. PARKER is the exclusive licensee under the '523 patent, and has the right to sue for past, present, and future infringement of the '523 patent, and further the right to seek injunctive relief and monetary damages.

~~6.~~7. On February 18, 2003, United States Letters Patent No. 6,521,348 (hereinafter the "'348 patent") (attached hereto as Exhibit "B") was duly and legally issued. The '348 patent is owned by ~~Parker Intangibles LLC, a Delaware limited liability company which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Parker. Parker~~PI. PARKER is the exclusive licensee under the '348 patent, and has the right to sue for past, present, and future infringement of the '348 patent, and further the right to seek injunctive relief and monetary damages.

~~7.~~8. On April 6, 2004, United States Letters Patent No. 6,716,536 (hereinafter the "'536 patent") (attached hereto as Exhibit "C") was duly and legally issued. The '536 patent is

owned by ~~Parker Intangibles LLC, a Delaware limited liability company which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Parker. Parker~~PI. PARKER is the exclusive licensee under the '536 patent, and has the right to sue for past, present, and future infringement of the '536 patent, and further the right to seek injunctive relief and monetary damages.

~~8.~~9. On August 17, 2004, United States Letters Patent No. 6,777,095 (hereinafter the "'095 patent") (attached hereto as Exhibit "D") was duly and legally issued. The '095 patent is owned by ~~Parker Intangibles LLC, a Delaware limited liability company which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Parker. Parker~~PI. PARKER is the exclusive licensee under the '095 patent, and has the right to sue for past, present, and future infringement of the '095 patent, and further the right to seek injunctive relief and monetary damages.

~~9.~~10. On June 19, 2001, United States Letters Patent No. 6,248,393 (hereinafter "'393 patent") (attached hereto as Exhibit "E") was duly and legally issued. The '393 patent is owned by ~~Parker Intangibles LLC, a Delaware limited liability company which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Parker. Parker~~PI. PARKER is the exclusive licensee under the '393 patent, and has the right to sue for past, present, and future infringement of the '393 patent, and further the right to seek injunctive relief and monetary damages.

PATENT INFRINGEMENT

~~10.~~11. SEIREN has been and still is infringing one or more claims of the '523, '348, '536, '095, and/or '393 patents. SEIREN's infringing activities have included direct infringement, contributory ~~infringement~~infringement and/or active inducement of infringement within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(a) through (c).

~~11.~~12. SEIREN has committed acts of infringement in disregard of PARKER's and PI's (hereinafter collectively "Plaintiffs") rights in the '523, '348, '536, '095, and/or '393 patents. Upon information and ~~belief~~belief, SEIREN's ~~infringement~~infringement has been willful, deliberate and intentional, and will continue, to ~~PARKER's~~Plaintiffs' irreparable harm, unless enjoined by this Court.

WHEREFORE, ~~plaintiff demands~~Plaintiffs demand judgment as follows:

A. That SEIREN has infringed U.S. Patent Nos. 6,387,523; 6,521,348; 6,716,536; 6,777,095; and/or 6,248,393;

B. That SEIREN be permanently enjoined from further conduct which infringes the '523, '348, '536, '095, or '393 patents;

C. That ~~PARKER~~Plaintiffs be awarded damages adequate to compensate ~~it~~them for SEIREN's infringement, and that the damages be trebled because of the willful nature of SEIREN's infringement, together with interest, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284; and

D. That ~~PARKER~~ Plaintiffs be awarded ~~its~~their attorney fees and costs in this action, together with such other relief as this Court deems appropriate.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

~~PARKER~~Plaintiffs hereby ~~requests~~request a trial by jury.

/s/ Rudolf E. Hutz

Rudolf E. Hutz (#484)
~~Harold Pezzner (#479)~~
Francis DiGiovanni (#3189)
~~Attorneys for Plaintiff~~
Steven A. Nash (PA #85707 admitted pro hac vice)
The Nemours Building
1007 N. Orange Street
Wilmington, DE 19899

Phone (302) 658-9141
rhutz@cblh.com
~~hpezzner@cblh.com~~
fdigiovanni@cblh.com
snash@cblh.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Dated: ~~February 22, 2007~~January 10, 2008

~~517965_1~~
563129_1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

PARKER HANNIFIN CORPORATION,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Civil Action No. 07-cv-00104-***
	)	
SEIREN CO., LTD.,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

<u>CERTIFICATION OF COUNSEL PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 7.1.1</u>

I, Steven A. Nash, counsel for Plaintiff, Parker-Hannifin Corporation ("Parker"), hereby certify that counsel for Plaintiff has conferred with counsel for Defendant, Seiren Co., Ltd. ("Seiren") in an attempt to reach an agreement on the matters set forth in the foregoing Motion for Leave to File Amended Complaint. However, after a reasonable effort the parties were unable to reach a resolution.

Dated: January 10, 2008

/s/ Steven A. Nash

Steven A. Nash (PA #85707 admitted *pro hac vice*)
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP
The Nemours Building
1007 North Orange Street
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 658-9141
snash@cblh.com

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

PARKER HANNIFIN CORPORATION,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Civil Action No. 07-cv-00104-***
	)	
SEIREN CO., LTD.,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

ORDER

WHEREAS, the Court has reviewed plaintiff Parker-Hannifin Corp.'s Motion for Leave to File First Amended Complaint (the "Motion") arguments made thereupon, and any opposition thereto;

IT IS SO ORDERED, this ______ day of ______________, 2008, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a), that the Motion is granted, and plaintiff Parker-Hannifin Corp.'s First Amended Complaint as set forth in Exhibit 1 to the Motion is deemed filed and served on defendant Seiren Co., LTD.

____
United States District Judge